

A Review of Applications of Hedonic Pricing Models in the New Zealand Housing Market

Mario A. Fernandez

February 2019

Discussion Paper 2019/002





A review of applications of hedonic pricing models in the New Zealand housing market

February 2019

Discussion Paper 2019/002

Mario A. Fernandez

Research and Evaluation Unit (RIMU)

Auckland Council
Discussion Paper 2019/002

ISSN 2624-3911 (Print)
ISSN 2624-392X (Online)

ISBN 978-1-98-858954-1 (Print)
ISBN 978-1-98-858955-8 (PDF)

This paper has been peer reviewed by the Peer Review Panel.

Review completed on 18 February 2019
Reviewed by one reviewer

Approved for Auckland Council publication by:

Name: Regan Solomon

Position: Manager, Land Use and Infrastructure Research and Evaluation

Date: 18 February 2019

Recommended citation

Fernandez, Mario A (2019). A review of applications of hedonic pricing models in the New Zealand housing market. Auckland Council discussion paper, DP2019/002

Cover photograph: Hobsonville houses, Auckland by Jay Farnworth

© 2019 Auckland Council

This publication is provided strictly subject to Auckland Council's copyright and other intellectual property rights (if any) in the publication. Users of the publication may only access, reproduce and use the publication, in a secure digital medium or hard copy, for responsible genuine non-commercial purposes relating to personal, public service or educational purposes, provided that the publication is only ever accurately reproduced and proper attribution of its source, publication date and authorship is attached to any use or reproduction. This publication must not be used in any way for any commercial purpose without the prior written consent of Auckland Council.

Auckland Council does not give any warranty whatsoever, including without limitation, as to the availability, accuracy, completeness, currency or reliability of the information or data (including third party data) made available via the publication and expressly disclaim (to the maximum extent permitted in law) all liability for any damage or loss resulting from your use of, or reliance on the publication or the information and data provided via the publication. The publication, information, and data contained within it are provided on an "as is" basis.

Executive summary

New Zealand is a spatially unique landscape where urban and environmental amenities shape the urban configuration of each city and determine land-use regulations. Hedonic pricing models supply information to make appropriate decisions regarding the provision of those amenities and to design more effective zoning and land-use regulations. Those models contribute to informing planners on how individuals value amenities and developers on how to design development projects that preserve profit-maximization behaviour (Heal, 2001; Anderson and West, 2006). Planners and policymakers may use those models to inform the ongoing discussions about the direction and typology of growth that is sought for any city.

Hedonic models use data on housing market transactions to determine the implicit price of housing attributes. Essentially, hedonic models analyse the price differential between two houses that vary only by one characteristic and the monetary trade-offs individuals are willing to make with respect to the changes in that characteristic. These models have been extensively applied to housing markets in New Zealand in order to explain the variations in housing prices as a reflection of the value of housing attributes (e.g. number of bedrooms, land area). The attributes that have received the most interest are environmental and urban amenities.

Research using hedonic models in New Zealand is largely applied and focused in the major cities (Auckland, Christchurch and Wellington). For the case of Auckland, research has addressed the valuation of environmental amenities (water views and parks), urban amenities (schools), housing and household features, policy (school zones, zoning), and market behaviour.

This paper is a literature review of applications of hedonic models in New Zealand and describes three current studies led by staff of Auckland Council. This paper then summarises empirical applications in terms of their research questions, methods and data, and contributions. Researchers and policymakers will find this paper useful to locate their own work within the context of existing literature.

Table of contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Hedonic models for housing prices	4
3.	Applications in New Zealand.....	6
4.	Current applications	13
5.	Concluding remarks	16
6.	References	18

1. Introduction

Policymakers have shown an increasing interest in the economic value of environmental amenities (and associated ecosystem services), as well as the potential impacts of changes in the quality and quantity of those amenities. Economists measure those impacts (gains or losses) as a monetary payment or a monetary compensation (as subtractions from or additions to income) that leave people equally economically satisfied with or without a change in the services provided by an environmental amenity. Those value estimates are of importance because they are used as an input into decision-making and for aiding the assessment of policy choices or trade-offs concerning management options (Heal *et al.*, 2005).

As amenities are not traded in conventional markets, no price exists that could be ascribed as a measure of value. Thus, it is not possible to reveal how much any individual would be willing to pay for the benefits of those amenities (Wakeford and Meyer, 1996; Heal *et al.*, 2005). Non-market valuation methods are therefore employed to explore the implications of amenities on welfare, and how they can be monetised for policy analysis. There are two key non-market valuation techniques: stated preference (SP) and revealed preference (RP) methods. SP methods entail direct questioning to stakeholders for them to state a value that reflects their attitudes toward amenities. RP methods use surrogate markets (housing or labour) to infer the value ascribed to the amenities.

Hedonic pricing models belong to the RP methods. The hedonic models estimate the demand or value of a good by decomposing its attributes and estimating their implicit prices or contributions to the formation of the good's market price (Rosen, 1974). Hedonic models are applied to the housing market to explain sale price variations as a reflection of the variation of housing attributes (e.g. number of bedrooms, land area). The attributes jointly constitute a housing bundle traded in the market, which are not necessarily produced or consumed in isolation (Domberger, 1977; Baltas and Freeman, 2001). The hedonic model then estimates the value of each attribute with a focus on those that are not traded in a conventional market, like environmental and urban amenities.

The literature on hedonic models is extensive. For example, it has been used to value the following:

- Air quality (Kim, Phipps and Anselin, 2003; Bayer, Keohane and Timmins, 2009).
- Beaches (Gopalakrishnan *et al.*, 2011).

- Rural open spaces (Conway *et al.*, 2010; Gibbons, Mourato and Resende, 2014; Czembrowski and Kronenberg, 2016; Daams, Sijtsma and Vlist, 2016).
- Urban open spaces (Geoghegan, 2002; Jiao and Liu, 2010; Liebelt, Bartke and Schwarz, 2018).
- Urban river pollution and restoration (Bin *et al.*, 2016; Chen, 2017).
- Woodlands (Garrod and Willis, 1992).
- Harbour and mountain views (Jim and Chen, 2009).
- Sea views (Latinopoulos, 2018).
- Land use and forests (Schläpfer *et al.*, 2015).
- Government-funded urban amenities (Wu, Dong and Zhang, 2015).
- Water quality (Bin *et al.*, 2016).
- Clean-up of hazardous waste sites (Gamper-Rabindran and Timmins, 2013).
- Aesthetic value of historical and cultural heritage (Deodhar, 2004; Ahlfeldt and Maennig, 2010; Moro *et al.*, 2013).
- Soil contamination (Peeters *et al.*, 2017).
- Visual environmental impacts (Gibbons, 2015).
- Incidence of wildfires (Mueller and Loomis, 2014).
- Flood risk (Rajapaksa *et al.*, 2017).
- Segmentation of the housing market (Goodman, 1978; Goodman and Thibodeau, 2007).
- Preservation and affordable housing (Heintzelman and Altieri, 2013).
- Places of worship (Thompson, Butters and Schmitz, 2012; Brandt, Maennig and Richter, 2014).
- Traffic noise reduction (Seo, Golub and Kuby, 2014; Swoboda, Nega and Timm, 2015).
- Waste management alternatives (Rivas Casado *et al.*, 2017).
- Nuisances of oil and gas wells (Bennett and Loomis, 2015).
- Energy efficiency ratings (Fuerst *et al.*, 2015).

Some works focus on multiple amenities to capture their interaction with housing preferences. Gibbons, Mourato and Resende (2014) estimate the amenity value associated with proximity to natural (e.g. habitats, designated areas, domestic

gardens and wetlands) and cultural amenities (e.g. churches) in England. Brasington and Hite (2005) estimate the value of proximity to environmental hazards coupled with local school quality in the state of Ohio, USA. They find that environmental quality and school quality are purchased together, environmental quality and house size are substitutes, and environmental quality and lot size are not related goods. Izón *et al.* (2016) explore the behaviour of prices in the state of Arizona, USA, and the influence of amenities such as national forests, wilderness areas, water sources or urban features such as landfills. Schläpfer *et al.* (2015) examine how land-use and environmental amenities and disamenities affect rental prices across communities in Switzerland. Positive effects on rental prices are identified for views, recreational infrastructure and vicinity of lakes, wetlands, undisturbed areas, nationally significant landscapes and cultural sites.

Several applications of the hedonic model in New Zealand are found. They address the valuation of environmental amenities (water views and parks), urban amenities (schools), housing and household features, policy (school zones), and market behaviour. This paper is a literature review of those studies. Its purpose is to inform the Auckland Council's decision-making process about economic valuation of amenities. This paper then summarises empirical applications in terms of their research questions, methods and data, and contributions. Researchers and policymakers will find this paper useful to locate their own work within the context of existing literature.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 is a brief description of the setup of hedonic models. Section 3 is the literature review. Section 4 describes three current applications in Auckland. Section 5 concludes.

Auckland Council's Research and Evaluation Unit discussion papers are intended to generate and contribute to discussion on topical issues related to Auckland. They represent the views of the author and not necessarily those of Auckland Council.

2. Hedonic models for housing prices

The hedonic models use data on market transactions to determine the implied value or implicit price of housing attributes. Hedonic models are used to observe the price differential between two houses that vary only by one characteristic (e.g., distance to the nearest park). The approach aids in understanding the monetary trade-offs individuals make with respect to the changes in this characteristic. In the above example it would be the value of the increase in the distance to the nearest park is the difference in the prices of the two houses (Taylor, 2003).

The housing market is complex. Prices depend on many characteristics such as internal features (number of bedrooms), urban and environmental amenities (infrastructure, transport corridors, coastal views), and location (distance to CBD or labour markets). Using house sales, estimates of the implicit prices of component characteristics can be derived.

A hedonic model consists of a regression of prices on housing characteristics and may be specified as follows:

$$p = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^h \beta_i H_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j N_j + \sum_{s=1}^a A_s + \sum_{k=1}^L \beta_k L_k + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

where p is the sales price of a house; H represents internal housing characteristics (number of bedrooms, living area); N , neighbourhood characteristics (ethnic representation, income distribution); A , urban and environmental amenities (parks, beaches); L , locational characteristics (distance to CBD); and, ϵ is the random error term (Taylor, 2003). The contribution of each variable to the formation of the housing price is captured by an estimated coefficient. The coefficients may be interpreted as the marginal effect on prices of changes in any of the variables¹.

Hedonic models have used Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) to estimate the implicit prices. The OLS estimates are interpreted as the response of the conditional mean of housing prices to a change in any of the attributes or covariates, holding other things constant (Peeters *et al.*, 2017). Other applications acknowledge that spatial correlations may exist between neighbouring houses which introduces biases if omitted from the regressions, for which spatial regressions should be used (Won Kim, Phipps and Anselin, 2003; Brasington and Hite, 2005; Conway *et al.*, 2010;

¹ Alternative specifications of Equation 1, to incorporate non-linear relations, include the double-log where the coefficient is interpreted as a price elasticity with respect to a given variable, or the semilog form where the coefficient is rather interpreted as a price semi elasticity. The selection of the proper specification form depends on the context.

Sunding and Swoboda, 2010; Helbich *et al.*, 2014; Wu, Dong and Zhang, 2015; Allpress, Balderston and Nunns, 2016). While the OLS provides consistent estimates, it assumes that the response of prices, to changes of covariates, is constant in the whole distribution of housing prices. Conditional and unconditional quantile regressions have been used to explore heterogeneous responses across the price distribution as a representation of housing submarkets. Mueller and Loomis (2014) estimate the impact of repeated wildfires on prices in Southern California using (conditional) quantile regressions (CQR) and find heterogeneous responses across the price distribution, where variation is as much as 73 per cent between the 25th and 75th quantiles. Similarly, Rajapaksa *et al.* (2017) find for Brisbane, Australia, that the effects of information on flood risks differ between submarkets. Uematsu, Khanal and Mishra (2013) investigate price effects of environmental factors on farmland values in the United States and find that amenities are positively correlated with values, and their impact is more pronounced at the upper-end of the price distribution. Peeters *et al.* (2017) estimate hedonics models for cadmium pollution of soil in the region of Campines in Belgium, and find negative effects in the middle range of the price distribution only.

3. Applications in New Zealand

Hedonic models have been used in New Zealand to value amenities or to evaluate public policies. This section summarises their findings and implications, contributions and how they address their research questions.

3.1 Environmental amenities

Studies on environmental amenities have been applied to evaluate aesthetic externalities associated to water views, exposure to sunlight, parks and open spaces.

Filippova (2009) examines the influence of water view premiums in Auckland and concludes that premium ranges from five per cent in West Harbour to 54 per cent in Mission Bay. She also concludes that greater accuracy on premiums estimates is achieved by using submarket models rather than a region wide model. Samarasinghe and Sharp (2008) estimate the value of views characterised as type of view, scope and distance to the coast. This work incorporates potential spatial autocorrelation to improve the accuracy of the hedonic estimates, and find that wide water views closer to the coast add a price premium of 44 per cent. Similarly, Bourassa, Hoesli and Sun (2005) explore the implicit prices of water views, the appearance of nearby improvements, and the quality of landscaping in the neighbourhood. Using transactions from 1986 to 1996 for Auckland, Christchurch, and Wellington, this research finds that price premiums for water views are the greatest in Christchurch, which has the smallest percentage of properties with water views, and lowest in Wellington, which has the highest percentage of properties with views.

Rohani (2012) analyses views and the amenity services of Auckland's Hauraki Gulf, and concludes that they could increase land value by 50 per cent. The work also suggests that if the distance between a house and access to a beach is doubled, the land price would decline by 17 per cent. Bourassa, Hoesli and Sun (2004) explore the impact of views in Auckland. They characterise views in terms of type, scope, distance to coast, appearance of surrounding improvements, average quality of landscaping in the neighbourhood, and average quality of structures in the neighbourhood. They find that wide views of water add a price premium of 59 per cent to a waterfront property, attractive buildings a premium of 37 per cent to houses in surrounding areas, and houses in neighbourhoods with only poor-quality landscaping experience a 51 per cent discount on price. Similarly, Sharp and Krausse (2015) use 10,000 sales recorded during 2004 in the Auckland Region and find that a flat contour adds a premium of 49 per cent, water views add 28 per cent, and a wide scope of view adds 19 per cent.

Plimmer (2014) indicates that water view premiums correlate positively with market growth, suggesting that for a short time after a market has recovered from a crash, the water view premium has a period of dramatic positive growth that is greater than the growth of the market.

Likewise, the urban configuration of Auckland is defined by its parks and open spaces. Allpress, Balderston and Nunns (2016) explore whether parks capitalise into housing prices. They find that for every 500 metres an apartment is away from the nearest regional park (or neighbourhood park), there is a price discount of 13.7 per cent (16.4%). However, they do not find any statistically significant relationship between distance to the nearest park and sale prices for standalone houses and flats.

Furthermore, Fleming *et al.* (2018) use data for Wellington to estimate that each extra daily hour of sunlight exposure is associated with a 2.6 per cent increase in house price. Wellington is relevant for the analysis for its abrupt topography and over-shadowing buildings. They argue that their results may be used to shift from a regulatory to a price-based planning approach.

3.2 Urban and locational features

Hedonic models have also been used to explore the effects on housing prices of urban amenities (or disamenities) such as flood hazards, cellular phone base stations and towers, transmission lines, windfarm visibility, school zones, lot position and risk of explosions.

Samarasinghe and Sharp (2010) examine the impact of flood-hazard zone location on prices interacted with the public availability of flood maps. They use data from over 2000 sales that occurred during 2006 in the former North Shore City. Through spatial autoregressive models they find that a house located in a flood plain and sold before flood plain maps were available has a price discount of 6.2 per cent. Yet the discount decreases to 2.3 per cent if flood plain maps become available by the time of transaction.

Bond (2007) examines the effect that proximity to cellular phone base stations has on prices in Christchurch. She finds that if the distance between a station and a house is 50 metres, prices decrease by 5.1 per cent. Similarly, Filippova and Rehm (2011) investigate if proximity to cell phone towers influences prices in the Auckland region. They use sales transaction data between 2005 and 2007 but did not find any significant effect, with the exception of armed monopole towers which are associated to a discount of 11 per cent if there is a tower in a 100 metre radius around a house.

In addition, Bond and Hopkins (2000) analyse the effect of high voltage overhead transmission lines and supporting pylons on prices in the suburb of Newlands, Wellington. Their results reveal a discount of 2.7 per cent if a pylon is less than 100 metres near a house. However, the presence of a transmission line in the area has a minimal effect and is not a statistically significant factor in the price.

McCarthy and Balli (2014) examine the effect of windfarm visibility on prices in the township of Ashhurst. They use 945 sales transactions occurring between 1995 and 2008. They find that the turbines located between 2.5 and 6 kilometres from Ashhurst have no significant impact on property value.

Thorsnes, Alexander and Kidson (2015) test the effect of local variation in several natural amenities (views, exposure to the sun and proximity to the beach) on two house and neighbourhood characteristics: house size and median census block income. They use data from all sales in 2005 in Dunedin. They find that house sizes and household incomes are lower in areas of private housing proximate to public housing neighbourhoods, consistent with disamenities associated with concentrations of low-income households. That is, public housing developments built in high-amenity areas have displaced a large amount of private development targeted toward higher-income households. In addition, ex-state houses sell for a significant six per cent discount after controlling for their small size and location in lower-income neighbourhoods.

Gibson, Boe-Gibson and Kim (2004) use sales transactions in 2004 and 2005 in Northwest Christchurch; and, by accounting spatial autocorrelation into the hedonic models, they find a price premium of about 20 per cent for an average house zoned for a popular secondary school, Christchurch Girls High School.

Likewise, Rehm and Filippova (2008) explore the impact of geographically defined school zones on house prices in Auckland. They use house sales transactions between 1986 and 1996, and a geographic information system to divide the study area into effective school zones and then further subdivide into suburbs, thus offering a vital indicator of internal validity. They find that the influence of school zoning on house prices is not uniform and the variation in price effects is largely a function of the uncertainty of future zone boundary definitions.

Filippova and Rehm (2009) quantify the influence that residential lot position has on prices in the former Auckland City during 1997 and 1998. They find that a house occupying a rear lot off a noisy arterial road is equally as desirable as an equivalent house sited on an inside lot fronting a quiet street. However, corner lots fronting a busy road suffer a price discount of 6.5 per cent; and, the more sheltered inside lots facing busy roads are subject to a discount of 2.6 per cent. These results reflect

homebuyers' willingness-to-pay for refuge from the air and noise pollution generated by vehicles travelling on arterial roads.

Kask and Maani (1992) develop a study in Auckland and evaluate a consumer's willingness to pay to avoid the risk of damage due to an explosion from a high-pressure natural gas pipeline. They claim their study provides a good example of the problems arising when estimating hedonic prices under conditions of uncertainty. They explore the decision to pay a higher price for a comparable home that has a reduced probability of damage due to the location of the home, which reflects the consumer's expenditure to self-protect. They report that buyers are willing to pay an additional 14.9 per cent to reduce their risk of damage from a pipeline explosion and to avoid the negative impacts of its construction. Nonetheless, after construction is completed, the discount decreases to 9.7 per cent.

Rehm, Filippova and Stone (2006) analyse 1996 sales transactions in Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch and Dunedin to determine if a home's vintage creates price premiums or discounts. They find a nonlinear effect on sales prices that differs between markets. Wealthier markets witness a greater vintage effect, with turn-of-the-century homes realising 15 per cent price premiums over new homes. In contrast, less wealthy markets tend to apply discounts of 20 per cent to 40 per cent to houses of the same vintage.

3.3 Method

The use of hedonic models is not constrained to environmental valuation. They have been used to test methodological approaches for the identification of housing market segments.

An important body of literature on hedonics is devoted to the segmentation of the housing markets. Bourassa, Cantoni and Hoesli (2007) compare different methods of controlling for the spatial dependence of house prices in a mass appraisal context. They assess the utility of spatial statistical methods relative to each other and to simpler OLS methods for a hedonic model. They claim that their approach allows the relevant submarket to vary from house to house, and for transactions involving other houses in each submarket to have varying impacts depending on distance. They conclude that for Auckland the gains in accuracy from including submarket variables in an OLS specification are greater than any benefits from using geostatistical or lattice methods.

The practical importance of their study is that a hedonic model with submarket dummy variables is substantially easier to implement than spatial statistical methods. Bourassa and Hoesli (1999) examine if the structure of constrained submarkets

constructed according to three a priori classifications (property type, house value and geographical areas) differs from that of unconstrained submarkets constructed by means of principal component analysis and cluster analysis. They use data for Auckland and find that the structure of submarkets relates to the physical characteristics of properties. They claim that a priori classifications are found to lead to submarkets whose structure does not reflect the dimensions of housing submarkets in Auckland.

3.4 Market behaviour

Hedonic models also help to explain market behaviour, in particular, movements in prices and changes in the composition of dwellings. Price indexes have been developed based on hedonic models and are critical to understanding housing markets, and to inform decision-making about housing affordability or housing bubbles (Bourassa, Hoesli and Sun, 2006).

Rehm, Chen and Filippova (2018) approach numerical superstition as a potential influence on the purchasing house in Auckland. Their results reveal that ethnic Chinese buyers display superstitious home buying behaviour between 2003 and 2006 by attributing value to houses with street addresses starting or ending with the lucky number eight. The estimated premium amounts to 1.4 per cent. However, this effect vanishes in the for sales transactions between 2011 and 2015, the authors argue probably because ethnic Chinese have, over time, assimilated New Zealand's Western culture and become less superstitious. Similarly, Bourassa and Peng (1999) use sales data between 1989 and 1996 for Auckland CBD and find that houses with lucky numbers are sold with a premium of 2.4 per cent.

Rehm (2009) quantifies leaky building stigma associated with monolithic claddings in the Auckland region. The results show that a leaky building stigma exists and is discounting prices of monolithic-clad single family houses by five per cent and multi-unit dwellings by 10 per cent. Additionally, roughly 37,500 monolithic-clad dwellings have been built in the region since 1992 and their homeowners have suffered an estimated \$1 billion reduction in property values due to leaky building stigma.

Dotzour, Moorhead and Winkler (1998) compare the sale prices of houses in Christchurch sold at auction with those sold by private treaty. Their results indicate that in some cases auctions can result in premiums ranging between 5.9 per cent and 9.5 per cent. Also, they do not find that auctions result in lower prices than private-treaty sales.

Filippova and Fu (2011) analyse the impact of marketing time on house sale price in Auckland. They find that a one per cent increase of time on market (in days) implies

a price discount ranging between 0.27 and 0.55 per cent, the differences arise due to changes in market conditions where properties in a booming market are sold more quickly than properties sold in a declining market.

Bourassa *et al.* (2009) investigate the heterogeneous rates of market appreciation, whether they are due to changes in fundamentals or market-wide bubbles. They use data from 1989 to 1996 in Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch, and find that houses will appreciate at different rates depending on the characteristics of the property and the change in the strength of the housing market. That is, in a strong market, smaller, older, centrally located houses are increasingly appealing to investors and exhibit larger price increases than the market.

Krsinich (2009) reviews the estimation approach of the housing rentals index and test whether there is any residual pure price change being smoothed out of the index due to the matched approach used to construct survey samples. She explores different approaches to the specification of the hedonic regression models and the index calculation from the model parameters. Her results suggest that the index based on hedonic models is appropriate to control for compositional change in the measurement of rental movements.

Limsombunchai (2004) compares the predictive power of the hedonic model with an artificial neural network model on house price prediction. He uses a sample of 200 houses in Christchurch, and by controlling internal features of the house (size, age, type, number of bedrooms, number of bathrooms, number of garages, amenities around the house and geographical location), he finds that artificial neural networks have better performance on house price prediction.

3.5 Policy

Hedonic models have also been used to assess policy making related to land-use regulations, thermal insulation and housing tenure choice.

Lees (2017) explores if land-use regulations hinder the flexibility of housing supply. He finds that house prices outstrip construction prices in New Zealand cities; the extensive price of land is about five to six times the intensive price of land; density and house prices are only weakly correlated; and, apartment and townhouses outstrip construction prices.

Similarly, Grimes and Liang (2009) evaluate the effects of the metropolitan urban limit (MUL) on land prices between 1992 and 2003 in Auckland. By controlling for spatial autocorrelation they find strong zoning boundary effect on land, in particular, that land just inside the MUL boundary is valued (per hectare) at approximately 10 times land that is just outside the boundary.

Filippova *et al.* (2018) investigates the economic effect of disaster-mitigation regulations on the commercial building stock due to the marked increase in the awareness of earthquake risk following the Canterbury earthquakes. Using a difference-in-differences framework, they determine whether earthquake risk has been capitalised into the property prices of buildings constructed prior to 1976, as a response to the national policy requiring assessment and strengthening (or demolition) of the existing earthquake-prone building stock. A negative externality is found in the policy announcement on affected (pre-1970s) office and retail buildings which caused office buildings to suffer a 12.5 per cent stigma discount. However, retail properties were less impacted suffering a 2.3 per cent stigma loss.

Thorsnes and Bishop (2013) take advantage of wide variation in thermal insulation in a sample of house sales in Dunedin and estimate the market value of basic code-level insulation. Insulation levels vary across the houses in their sample because standard practice in New Zealand was to build houses with no thermal insulation prior to implementation of insulation standards in 1978, and the extent of insulation retrofits varies across the sample. They find that newer houses built under insulation requirements have a price premium of 8.5 per cent relative to older houses. This premium exceeds the higher cost of retro-fit installation.

Hargreaves (2003) explores the determinants of housing tenure choice in New Zealand in order to explain the decline the rate of ownership from 73 per cent to 67 per cent between 1986 and 2001. He reports that financial considerations such as saving for a deposit and lack of job security were the main reasons for renters not switching to home ownership. He estimates hedonic models to confirm that household income is one of the key variables determining household tenure, particularly in the lower rent suburbs.

4. Current applications

This section describes applications of three current studies applying hedonic models and led Auckland Council's Research and Evaluation Unit. The first is about the effects of environmental amenities across market segments and time. The second estimates the price premiums or discounts associated to heritage protection regulations. The third estimates the price premiums or discounts associated to churches. All studies take Auckland as a case study.

4.1 Heterogeneous effects of environmental amenities

Fernandez and Bucaram (2018) argue that attitudes toward environmental amenities change across submarkets and time. The capitalization of amenities is heterogeneous, which, in addition to the complexity of housing markets, may obscure the interpretation of hedonic models. They use house sale transactions between 2000 and 2016, and estimate hedonic models using unconditional quantile regressions, to control for submarkets, and include interactions between all amenities and time effects to explore any changes in the capitalisation pattern. Environmental amenities are represented by the distance between any house and the nearest coastal feature (coastline, beaches, harbours and bays), marine areas, and open spaces. They take advantage of a detailed categorisation of parks: owned or maintained by the Auckland Council (AC) or the New Zealand Department of Conservation (DOC), conservation areas, ecological areas, marginal strips around waterways, golf courts, and wetlands. They also explore whether the size of the nearest amenity is an indirect mechanism to add value in the housing price.

A further complication is that parks are often contiguous to wetlands, waterways or coastal areas, which may enhance the value of an amenity. Hedonic estimations accounting only for the distance between a house to the nearest open space, may then disregard valuable information, such as contextual or regulatory backgrounds. For example, volcanic parks may provide landscape benefits but these may be outweighed by height construction restrictions or land-use regulations. Thus, households incur in a trade-off between environmental amenities and development potential.

The research finds a heterogeneous capitalisation pattern of amenities. For instance, relatively large regional reserves should add value to houses whose prices are below the median and close to the CBD. But for the most expensive houses in the dataset, regional reserves in turn become disamenities. Likewise, for houses around the median of the price distribution and relatively far from the CBD, large neighbourhood parks imply price discounts rather than any amenity value. Also, houses in the upper-

end of the price distribution tend to locate in the proximity of beaches, where price premiums are observed. But discounts are also observed for houses in the lower-end of the distribution in these same areas.

4.2 Price effects of heritage in Auckland

Heritage features shape the layout of any city. This configuration is affected not only by designations or regulations intended to protect and conserve heritage, but also by the trade-offs that homebuyers are willing to incur between house location, prices and the aesthetic or landscape amenities associated to heritage.

Heritage has been found to have positive effects on housing prices (Ahlfeldt *et al.*, 2017). The literature examines internal effects, for houses that have been listed as heritage and for which particular construction or renovation rules apply; and, external effects in the form of externalities on surrounding houses because of landscaping or aesthetic value. In both cases, heritage (similarly to other environmental amenities, such as parks or beaches) is a public-good, where maintenance and preservation costs are not necessarily shared among those who enjoy the benefits. This disparity between public benefits and private costs leads to a perceived burden with owning heritage and justifies public intervention for preservation (Moro *et al.*, 2013; Koster and Rouwendal, 2017)

Bade *et al.* (2018) investigate the external effect, if any, that heritage sites have on housing prices in Auckland. In Auckland there are approximately 2300 heritage sites comprising archaeological sites, chapels, churches, halls, industrial buildings, monuments, residences, shops, lighthouses and schools, and 50 special character areas covering streetscapes. They differentiate heritage sites between heritage areas (i.e. residential areas), individual heritage features and special characters areas (SCA), which are residential or business street-scape areas identified as having collective and cohesive values, cultural importance, relevance and interest to communities.

The research uses sales data between 2006 and 2016 to construct a model where the dependent variable is the logarithm of the price per square metre of floor area, and the variables of interest are the effects of heritage areas (HA) and SCA (represented by dummy variables for whether the house is located within any of them), and the distances from a house to the nearest heritage feature, HA or SCA.

To further identify the source of the heritage price premium, the analysis accounts for heritage density effects; density is the number of heritage sites in a radius of 50 or 100 metres around each house. The focus is on the external effects of heritage and

the price effects of two forms of local government heritage protection (scheduled heritage sites and Special Character Areas).

They find a significant price discount of 10 per cent for a house located inside a heritage area. For houses outside HAs there is a premium of about 1.7 per cent for an additional heritage site in a radius of 50 metres around a house. This premium slightly decreases to 1.4 per cent for a radius of 100 metres, and decreases to 0.5 per cent for a radius of 200 metres. They also find a price premium of four per cent for a house being located within a Special Character Area. Furthermore, when controlling for time dynamics, they find that the SCA premium vanishes in 2016 because of the introduction of densification and rezoning regulations that removed the heritage protection in some Auckland neighbourhoods.

4.3 Places of worship (churches)

Sites of spirituality or religious heritage are also part of the urban configuration of Auckland. Bade, Cheung, *et al.* (2018) investigate if Christian churches have any price effects on surrounding properties. This study contributes to a narrow literature regarding places of worship, which touches several issues regarding valuation of urban amenities, as well as the effects of historical and cultural heritage. They use a dataset of about 183,000 sales between 2010 and 2016. The dataset also includes 143 Christian churches and 64 marae.

Preliminary results show that houses with a church within a 200 metre radius are sold with a discount of 6.4 per cent. A 400 metre radius generates a discount of 2.6 per cent, and at one kilometre the discount is 1.4 per cent.

5. Concluding remarks

New Zealand is a spatially unique landscape where urban and environmental amenities shape the urban configuration of each city and determine land-use regulations. Hedonic models supply information to make appropriate decisions regarding the provision of those amenities and to design more effective zoning and land-use regulations. Those models then contribute to informing planners on how individuals value amenities and developers on how to design development projects that preserve profit-maximization behaviour (Heal, 2001; Anderson and West, 2006). Planners and policymakers may use those models to inform the ongoing discussions about the direction and typology of growth that is sought for any city.

This paper reviews hedonic pricing models in the broader economic literature, the application of these models in New Zealand, and briefly describes three pieces of Auckland Council research. Its purpose is to inform the council's decision-making process about economic valuation of urban and environmental amenities. This paper then summarises those applications in terms of their research questions, methods and data, and contributions. Researchers and policymakers will find this paper useful to locate their own works within the context of existing literature.

Since the seminal works of Rosen (1974), Goodman (1978) and Ridker and Henning (1967), hedonic models have evolved to become the standard way economists deal with the complexities of the housing market (Malpezzi, 2002). The hedonic model uses behaviour observed in housing markets to value not only housing features but also environmental and urban amenities. The popularity of the hedonic model is reflected in its numerous applications world-wide, particularly due to the relatively minimal data requirements and straightforward empirical implementation (Taylor, 2003).

Even though the theoretical and empirical literature on hedonic models is vast, the basic setup of decomposing the housing price into its attributes and their contributions remains the same. The main insight derived from the estimates is the value of a (marginal) change in an environmental or urban amenities that accrues to a population of residents in any area (Taylor, 2003). Many details still require careful consideration for the setup of datasets and econometric estimates, for this some comprehensive reviews may be found in Taylor (2003), Malpezzi (2008) and Mendelsohn and Olmstead (2009). There is also scope for more cutting-edge and methodological applications in New Zealand such as semiparametric, nonparametric or Bayesian approaches, applications to commercial real estate and the interactions with residential markets, matching sales transaction with households' characteristics, and welfare estimations based on the estimates of marginal prices.

Environmental amenities are not traded in conventional markets and no price may be ascribed in order to infer an economic value. But this does not imply that individuals have no (positive or negative) attitudes toward those amenities, or changes in their quantity or quality. As every policy proposal should be thoroughly assessed and decisions be taken based on sound evidence, hedonic models (and in general other non-market valuation techniques) are an essential tool in decision-making, becoming foundations for rigorous cost-benefit analysis.

6. References

- Ahlfeldt, G. M. *et al.* (2017) 'Game of Zones: The Political Economy of Conservation Areas', *The Economic Journal*. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 127(605), pp. F421–F445. doi: 10.1111/ecoj.12454.
- Ahlfeldt, G. M. and Maennig, W. (2010) 'Substitutability and complementarity of urban amenities: External effects of built heritage in Berlin', *Real Estate Economics*, 38(2), pp. 285-323. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6229.2010.00268.x.
- Allpress, J., Balderston, K. and Nunns, P. (2016) *How do Aucklanders value their parks? A hedonic analysis of the impact of proximity to open space on residential property values*. Technical report, TR2016/031. Auckland: Auckland Council.
- Bade, D., Cheung, L., *et al.* (2018) 'Price Effects of Churches in the Housing Market of Auckland', *Manuscript in preparation*.
- Bade, D., Castillo, J., *et al.* (2018) 'The Price Premium of Heritage in the Housing Market: Evidence from Auckland, New Zealand', *Manuscript in preparation*.
- Baltas, G. and Freeman, J. (2001) 'Hedonic Price Methods and the Structure of High-Technology Industrial Markets: An Empirical Analysis', *Industrial Marketing Management*, 30(7), pp. 599-607. doi: 10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00125-X.
- Bayer, P., Keohane, N. and Timmins, C. (2009) 'Migration and hedonic valuation: The case of air quality', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 58(1), pp. 1-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jeem.2008.08.004.
- Bennett, A. and Loomis, J. (2015) 'Are Housing Prices Pulled Down or Pushed Up by Fracked Oil and Gas Wells? A Hedonic Price Analysis of Housing Values in Weld County, Colorado', *Society & Natural Resources*. Routledge, 28(11), pp. 1168-1186. doi: 10.1080/08941920.2015.1024810.
- Bin, O. *et al.* (2016) 'Housing Market Fluctuations and the Implicit Price of Water Quality: Empirical Evidence from a South Florida Housing Market', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, pp. 1-23. doi: 10.1007/s10640-016-0020-8.
- Bond, S. (2007) 'Cell phone tower proximity impacts on house prices: A New Zealand case study', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 13(1), pp. 63-91. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2007.11104223.
- Bond, S. and Hopkins, J. (2000) 'The Impact of Transmission Lines on Residential Property Values: Results of A Case Study in a Suburb of Wellington, Nz', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*. Routledge, 6(2), pp. 52-60. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2000.11104090.
- Bourassa, S. C. *et al.* (2009) 'House Price Changes and Idiosyncratic Risk: The Impact of Property Characteristics', *Real Estate Economics*. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 37(2), pp. 259-278. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6229.2009.00242.x.
- Bourassa, S. C., Cantoni, E. and Hoesli, M. (2007) 'Spatial dependence, housing submarkets, and house price prediction', *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 35(2), pp. 143-160. doi: 10.1007/s11146-007-9036-8.
- Bourassa, S. C. and Hoesli, M. (1999) 'The Structure of Housing Submarkets in a Metropolitan Region'.

- Bourassa, S. C., Hoesli, M. and Sun, J. (2004) 'What's in a view?', *Environment and Planning A*, 36(8), pp. 1427-1450. doi: 10.1068/a36103.
- Bourassa, S. C., Hoesli, M. and Sun, J. (2005) 'The Price of Aesthetic Externalities', *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, 13(2), pp. 165-188.
- Bourassa, S. C., Hoesli, M. and Sun, J. (2006) 'A simple alternative house price index method', *Journal of Housing Economics*, 15(1), pp. 80-97. doi: 10.1016/j.jhe.2006.03.001.
- Bourassa, S. C. and Peng, V. S. (1999) 'Hedonic Prices and House Numbers: The Influence of Feng Shui', *International Real Estate Review*, 2(1), pp. 79-93. Available at: <http://ideas.repec.org/a/ire/issued/v02n011999p79-93.html>.
- Brandt, S., Maennig, W. and Richter, F. (2014) 'Do Houses of Worship Affect Housing Prices? Evidence from Germany', *Growth and Change*. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 45(4), pp. 549-570. doi: 10.1111/grow.12066.
- Brasington, D. M. and Hite, D. (2005) 'Demand for environmental quality: a spatial hedonic analysis', *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 35(1), pp. 57-82. doi: 10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2003.09.001.
- Carroll, T. M., Clauretje, T. M. and Jensen, J. (1996) 'Living next to godliness: Residential property values and churches', *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 12(3), pp. 319-330. doi: 10.1007/BF00127540.
- Chen, W. Y. (2017) 'Environmental externalities of urban river pollution and restoration: A hedonic analysis in Guangzhou (China)', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 157, pp. 170-179. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.06.010.
- Conway, D. *et al.* (2010) 'A Spatial Autocorrelation Approach for Examining the Effects of Urban Greenspace on Residential Property Values', *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 41(2), pp. 150-169. doi: 10.1007/s11146-008-9159-6.
- Czembrowski, P. and Kronenberg, J. (2016) 'Hedonic pricing and different urban green space types and sizes: Insights into the discussion on valuing ecosystem services', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 146, pp. 11-19. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.10.005.
- Daams, M. N., Sijtsma, F. J. and Vlist, A. J. van der (2016) 'The Effect of Natural Space on Nearby Property Prices: Accounting for Perceived Attractiveness', *Land Economics*, 92(3), pp. 389-410. doi: 10.3368/le.92.3.389.
- Deodhar, V. (2004) *Does the Housing Market Value Heritage?: Some Empirical Evidence*. Available at: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f6d6/51329606fc07cc127124373436abc3cfa70e.pdf>.
- Domberger, S. (1977) 'Price adjustment and market structure', *The Economic Journal*, 41(353), pp. 139-143. doi: 10.1016/0165-1765(93)90187-H.
- Dotzour, M., Moorhead, E. and Winkler, D. T. (1998) 'The Impact of Auctions on Residential Sales Prices in New Zealand', *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 16(1).
- Edlow, R. C. and Edmonds, S. A. (1983) 'Interprofessional optometry.', *Journal of the American Optometric Association*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science Ltd, 54(11), pp. 1021-1024. doi: 10.1002/9780470690680.ch5.

- Fernandez, M. and Bucaram, S. (2018) 'The Changing Face of Environmental Amenities: Heterogeneity across Housing Submarkets and Time', *Manuscript in preparation*.
- Filippova, O. (2009) 'The influence of submarkets on water view house price premiums in New Zealand', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 2(1), pp. 91-105. doi: 10.1108/17538270910939583.
- Filippova, O. et al. (2018) 'Economic effects of regulating the seismic strengthening of older buildings', *Building Research & Information*. Routledge, 46(7), pp. 711-724. doi: 10.1080/09613218.2017.1357318.
- Filippova, O. and Fu, S. (2011) 'Time-on-market and house prices in Auckland, New Zealand', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 17(1), pp. 70-91. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2011.11104318.
- Filippova, O. and Rehm, M. (2009) 'The influence of lot position on house price', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 15(3), pp. 321-334. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2009.11104284.
- Filippova, O. and Rehm, M. (2011) 'The impact of proximity to cell phone towers on residential property values', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 4(3), pp. 244-267. doi: 10.1108/17538271111153022.
- Fleming, D. et al. (2018) 'Valuing sunshine', *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. North-Holland, 68, pp. 268-276. doi: 10.1016/J.REGSCIURBECO.2017.11.008.
- Fuerst, F. et al. (2015) 'Does energy efficiency matter to home-buyers? An investigation of EPC ratings and transaction prices in England', *Energy Economics*. North-Holland, 48, pp. 145-156. doi: 10.1016/J.ENERCO.2014.12.012.
- Gamper-Rabindran, S. and Timmins, C. (2013) 'Does cleanup of hazardous waste sites raise housing values? Evidence of spatially localized benefits', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 65(3), pp. 345-360. doi: 10.1016/j.jeem.2012.12.001.
- Garrod, G. and Willis, K. (1992) 'The environmental economic impact of woodland: a two-stage hedonic price model of the amenity value of forestry in Britain', *Applied Economics*. Chapman & Hall Ltd. , 24(7), pp. 715-728. doi: 10.1080/00036849200000040.
- Geoghegan, J. (2002) 'The value of open spaces in residential land use', *Land Use Policy*, 19(1), pp. 91-98. doi: 10.1016/S0264-8377(01)00040-0.
- Gibbons, S. (2015) 'Gone with the wind: Valuing the visual impacts of wind turbines through house prices', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 72, pp. 177-196. doi: 10.1016/j.jeem.2015.04.006.
- Gibbons, S., Mourato, S. and Resende, G. M. (2014) 'The Amenity Value of English Nature: A Hedonic Price Approach', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 57(2), pp. 175-196. doi: 10.1007/s10640-013-9664-9.
- Gibson, J., Boe-Gibson, G. and Kim, B. (2004) 'House prices and school zones: Does geography matter?', in *LEW 11 Conference*.

- Goodman, A. C. (1978) 'Hedonic prices, price indices and housing markets', *Journal of Urban Economics*, 5(4), pp. 471-484. doi: 10.1016/0094-1190(78)90004-9.
- Goodman, A. C. and Thibodeau, T. G. (2007) 'The Spatial Proximity of Metropolitan Area Housing Submarkets', *Real Estate Economics*, 35(2), pp. 209-232. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6229.2007.00188.x.
- Gopalakrishnan, S. *et al.* (2011) 'The value of disappearing beaches: A hedonic pricing model with endogenous beach width', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. Academic Press, 61(3), pp. 297-310. doi: 10.1016/J.JEEM.2010.09.003.
- Grimes, A. and Liang, Y. (2009) 'Spatial Determinants of Land Prices: Does Auckland's Metropolitan Urban Limit Have an Effect?', *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*. Springer Netherlands, 2(1), pp. 23-45. doi: 10.1007/s12061-008-9010-8.
- Hargreaves, B. (2003) 'Determinants of housing tenure choice in New Zealand', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 9(3), pp. 203-223. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2003.11104140.
- Heal, G. *et al.* (2005) *Valuing Ecosystem Services: Toward Better Environmental Decision Making*, National Academy of Sciences. doi: 10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.07.031.
- Heintzelman, M. D. and Altieri, J. A. (2013) 'Historic Preservation: Preserving Value?', *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*. Springer US, 46(3), pp. 543-563. doi: 10.1007/s11146-011-9338-8.
- Helbich, M. *et al.* (2014) 'Spatial Heterogeneity in Hedonic House Price Models: The Case of Austria', *Urban Studies*, 51(2), pp. 390-411. doi: 10.1177/0042098013492234.
- Izón, G. M. *et al.* (2016) 'Proximity to Natural Amenities: A Seemingly Unrelated Hedonic Regression Model with Spatial Durbin and Spatial Error Processes', *Growth and Change*. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 47(4), pp. 461-480. doi: 10.1111/grow.12147.
- Jiao, L. and Liu, Y. (2010) 'Geographic Field Model based hedonic valuation of urban open spaces in Wuhan, China', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 98(1), pp. 47-55. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.07.009.
- Jim, C. Y. and Chen, W. Y. (2009) 'Value of scenic views: Hedonic assessment of private housing in Hong Kong', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 91(4), pp. 226-234. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.01.009.
- Kask, S. B. and Maani, S. A. (1992) 'Uncertainty, Information, and Hedonic Pricing', *Land Economics*. University of Wisconsin Press, 68(2), p. 170. doi: 10.2307/3146772.
- Koster, H. R. A. and Rouwendal, J. (2017) 'Historic Amenities and Housing Externalities: Evidence from the Netherlands', *The Economic Journal*, 127(605), pp. F396--F420. doi: 10.1111/eoj.12477.
- Krsinich, F. (2009) 'Using Hedonic Regression to Assess the Housing Rentals Component of the New Zealand Consumers Price Index', in *New Zealand Association of Economists 50th Anniversary*. Wellington: New Zealand Association of Economists.

- Latinopoulos, D. (2018) 'Using a spatial hedonic analysis to evaluate the effect of sea view on hotel prices', *Tourism Management*. Pergamon, 65, pp. 87-99. doi: 10.1016/J.TOURMAN.2017.09.019.
- Lees, K. (2017) *Quantifying the impact of land use regulation: Evidence from New Zealand*.
- Liebelt, V., Bartke, S. and Schwarz, N. (2018) 'Hedonic pricing analysis of the influence of urban green spaces onto residential prices: the case of Leipzig, Germany', *European Planning Studies*. Routledge, 26(1), pp. 133-157. doi: 10.1080/09654313.2017.1376314.
- Limsombunchai, V. (2004) 'House Price Prediction: Hedonic Price Model vs. Artificial Neural Network', in *NZARES Conference*.
- Malpezzi, S. (2002) 'Hedonic Pricing Models: A Selective and Applied Review', in *Housing Economics: Essays in Honor of Duncan MacLennan*, pp. 67-89. doi: 10.1002/9780470690680.ch5.
- McCarthy, I. and Balli, H. O. (2014) 'Windfarms and residential property values', *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*. Taylor & Francis, 18(2), pp. 116-124. doi: 10.3846/1648715X.2014.889770.
- Mendelsohn, R. and Olmstead, S. (2009) 'The Economic Valuation of Environmental Amenities and Disamenities: Methods and Applications', *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*. Annual Reviews, 34(1), pp. 325-347. doi: 10.1146/annurev-environ-011509-135201.
- Moro, M. *et al.* (2013) 'Does the housing market reflect cultural heritage? A case study of Greater Dublin', *Environment and Planning A*, 45(12), pp. 2884-2903. doi: 10.1068/a45524.
- Mueller, J. M. and Loomis, J. B. (2014) 'Does the estimated impact of wildfires vary with the housing price distribution? A quantile regression approach', *Land Use Policy*, 41, pp. 121-127. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.05.008.
- Peeters, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Heterogeneous Impact of Soil Contamination on Farmland Prices in the Belgian Campine Region: Evidence from Unconditional Quantile Regressions', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 66(1), pp. 135-168. doi: 10.1007/s10640-015-9945-6.
- Plimmer, C. (2014) *A time varying study of water view premiums in relation to residential house prices*. Lincoln University.
- Rajapaksa, D. *et al.* (2017) 'Who responds more to environmental amenities and disamenities?', *Land Use Policy*, 62, pp. 151-158. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.12.029.
- Rehm, M. (2009) 'Judging a house by its cover: Leaky building stigma and house prices in New Zealand', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 2(1), pp. 57-77. doi: 10.1108/17538270910939565.
- Rehm, M., Chen, S. and Filippova, O. (2018) 'House prices and superstition among ethnic Chinese and non-Chinese homebuyers in Auckland, New Zealand', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 11(1), pp. 34-44. doi: 10.1108/IJHMA-04-2017-0044.

- Rehm, M. and Filippova, O. (2008) 'The impact of geographically defined school zones on house prices in New Zealand', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 1(4), pp. 313-336. doi: 10.1108/17538270810908623.
- Rehm, M., Filippova, O. and Stone, J. (2006) 'The influence of vintage on house value', *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 12(3), pp. 232-253. doi: 10.1080/14445921.2006.11104208.
- Ridker, R. G. and Henning, J. A. (1967) 'The determinants of residential property values with special reference to air pollution', *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, pp. 246-257.
- Rivas Casado, M. *et al.* (2017) 'Monetising the impacts of waste incinerators sited on brownfield land using the hedonic pricing method', *Waste Management*. Pergamon, 61, pp. 608-616. doi: 10.1016/J.WASMAN.2016.10.036.
- Rohani, M. (2012) *Impact of Hauraki Gulf Amenity on the Land Price of Neighbourhood Properties*. Working paper, WP2012/001. Auckland Council.
- Rosen, S. (1974) 'Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Product Differentiation in Pure Competition', *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(1), pp. 34-55. doi: 10.1086/260169.
- Samarasinghe, O. E. and Sharp, B. M. H. (2008) 'The value of a view: A spatial hedonic analysis', *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 42(1), pp. 59-78. doi: 10.1080/00779950809544413.
- Samarasinghe, O. and Sharp, B. (2010) 'Flood prone risk and amenity values: A spatial hedonic analysis', *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 54(4), pp. 457-475. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8489.2009.00483.x.
- Schläpfer, F. *et al.* (2015) 'Valuation of landscape amenities: A hedonic pricing analysis of housing rents in urban, suburban and periurban Switzerland', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 141, pp. 24-40. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.04.007.
- Seo, K., Golub, A. and Kuby, M. (2014) 'Combined impacts of highways and light rail transit on residential property values: a spatial hedonic price model for Phoenix, Arizona', *Journal of Transport Geography*. Pergamon, 41, pp. 53-62. doi: 10.1016/J.JTRANGE.2014.08.003.
- Sharp, B. and Krause, M. (2015) *Measuring Environmental Effects On Property Values: Analysis of Spatially Variable Relationships*. Working Paper No. 283600087.
- Sunding, D. L. and Swoboda, A. M. (2010) 'Hedonic analysis with locally weighted regression: An application to the shadow cost of housing regulation in Southern California', *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 40(6), pp. 550-573. doi: 10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2010.07.002.
- Swoboda, A., Nega, T. and Timm, M. (2015) 'Hedonic Analysis Over Time and Space: The Case of House Prices and Traffic Noise', *Journal of Regional Science*, 55(4), pp. 644-670. doi: 10.1111/jors.12187.
- Taylor, L. O. (2003) 'The Hedonic Method', in: Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 331-393. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-0826-6_10.
- Thompson, E., Butters, R. B. and Schmitz, B. T. (2012) 'The property value premium of a place of worship', *Contemporary Economic Policy*. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 30(2), pp. 215-222. doi: 10.1111/j.1465-7287.2011.00255.x.

Thorsnes, P., Alexander, R. and Kidson, D. (2015) 'Low-income housing in high-amenity areas: Long-run effects on residential development', *Urban Studies*, 52(2), pp. 261-278. doi: 10.1177/0042098014528999.

Thorsnes, P. and Bishop, T. (2013) 'The value of basic building code insulation', *Energy Economics*, 37, pp. 68-81. doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2013.02.001.

Uematsu, H., Khanal, A. R. and Mishra, A. K. (2013) 'The impact of natural amenity on farmland values: A quantile regression approach', *Land Use Policy*, 33, pp. 151-160. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2012.12.007.

Wakeford, T. and Meyer, A. (1996) 'Valuing the environment and valuing lives', *Environmental Politics*, 5(2), pp. 363-366. doi: 10.1080/09644019608414273.

Won Kim, C., Phipps, T. T. and Anselin, L. (2003) 'Measuring the benefits of air quality improvement: a spatial hedonic approach', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 45(1), pp. 24-39. doi: 10.1016/S0095-0696(02)00013-X.

Wu, W., Dong, G. and Zhang, W. (2015) 'The puzzling heterogeneity of amenity capitalization effects on land markets', *Papers in Regional Science*, p. n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/pirs.12186.

Find out more: phone 09 301 0101, email rimu@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz or visit aucklandcouncil.govt.nz and knowledgeauckland.org.nz