

Upper Mangatawhiri Shortjaw Kokopu Survey – 2011

March 2012

Technical Report 2012/002

Auckland Council Technical Report 2012/002, March 2012 ISSN 2230-4525 (Print) ISSN 2230-4533 (Online)

ISBN 978-1-927169-46-9 (Print) ISBN 978-1-927169-47-6 (PDF) Reviewed by:

Iraham Sturrey

Name: Graham Surrey Position: Scientist - Freshwater Organisation: Auckland Council Date: 28 March 2012

Approved for Auckland Council publication by:

and

Name: Grant Barnes Position: Manager, RIMU Organisation: Auckland Council Date: 20 April 2012

Recommended Citation: Smith, J.; Baker, C.; Bartels, B.; Franklin, P. (2012). Upper Mangatawhiri Shortjaw Kokopu Survey – 2011. Prepared by NIWA for Auckland Council. Auckland Council Technical Report 2012/002

© 2012 Auckland Council

This publication is provided strictly subject to Auckland Council's (AC) copyright and other intellectual property rights (if any) in the publication. Users of the publication may only access, reproduce and use the publication, in a secure digital medium or hard copy, for responsible genuine non-commercial purposes relating to personal, public service or educational purposes, provided that the publication is only ever accurately reproduced and proper attribution of its source, publication date and authorship is attached to any use or reproduction. This publication must not be used in any way for any commercial purpose without the prior written consent of AC. AC does not give any warranty whatsoever, including without limitation, as to the availability, accuracy, completeness, currency or reliability of the information or data (including third party data) made available via the publication and expressly disclaim (to the maximum extent permitted in law) all liability for any damage or loss resulting from your use of, or reliance on the publication or the information and data provided via the publication. The publication and information and data contained within it are provided on an "as is" basis.

Upper Mangatawhiri Shortjaw Kokopu Survey – 2011

Joshua Smith Cindy Baker Brenda Bartels Paul Franklin

Prepared for Auckland Council

NIWA Client Report: HAM2011-070 June 2011

Auckland Council Technical Report 2012/002, March 2012 ISSN 2230-4525 (Print) ISSN 2230-4533 (Online)

ISBN 978-1-927169-46-9 (Print) ISBN 978-1-927169-47-6 (PDF)

Contents

Exec	cutive Summary	5
1	Introduction	7
2	Methods	8
2.1	Sampling sites	8
2.2	Methodology	10
3	Results and Discussion	12
4	Summary and Recommendations	18
5	Acknowledgements	19
6	References	20
7	Appendix I – Site Co-ordinates	22
8	Appendix 2 – Freshwater Fish Database Forms	24

Reviewed by:

Stude

Rohan Wells

Approved for release by:

David Roper

Formatting checked

A. Bartley

Executive Summary

During 2008, a survey of the Mangatawhiri Reservoir and headwater streams recorded two shortjaw kokopu, with otolith microchemistry from one of the fish verifying it had a lacustrine life history. The presence of shortjaw kokopu above Mangatawhiri Dam is of particular significance as this species is the rarest of the five galaxiid species that comprise our whitebait fishery, and this was the first record of a potential land-locked (lacustrine) population for this species. To confirm the existence, and determine the size, of a self-sustaining lacustrine population of shortjaw kokopu upstream of the Mangatawhiri Dam, NIWA and Auckland Council undertook an extensive survey of the headwater tributaries during summer 2011.

The upper Mangatawhiri River, the reservoir's main tributary and the St Paul Stream were surveyed using electric fishing and fyke nets. In total 7.2 km of water was fished. Only three shortjaw kokopu were caught, all of which were captured in close proximity to one another (50 m). Despite large amounts of suitable habitat within the survey streams, the shortjaw kokopu population appears extremely rare. The present survey, however, may underestimate the abundance of shortjaw kokopu above Mangatawhiri Dam as it was not possible to undertake spotlighting, which is thought to be more effective for kokopu than electric fishing.

Otolith microchemistry on two of the three shortjaw kokopu captured established that both fish had a lacustrine life history, which confirms the shortjaw kokopu above the Mangatawhiri Dam are in fact part of a small lacustrine population. This population represents the only known land-locked shortjaw kokopu population within New Zealand.

Based on these findings, we recommend:

- Future surveys be carried out to ensure the shortjaw kokopu population does not decline to extinction.
- Future surveys should consist of both electric fishing and spotlighting to give a better estimate of population size.

To ensure the shortjaw kokopu population above Managatawhiri Dam is maintained, we recommend the following management options:

- Ensure an upstream Trap and Transfer programme is not implemented at this dam as it could disrupt the status quo.
- Consult with Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game regarding the necessity to maintain a trout fishery within the Mangatawhiri Reservoir. If the presence of a rainbow trout population above the Mangatawhiri Dam is deemed important, then stocking should continue at the current level as it appears sustainable with respect to the galaxiid populations. However, it is important that the current stocking rate is not increased, as adult trout are known to feed on galaxiids, and therefore have the potential to reduce the growth of the shortjaw kokopu population.
- Undertake stocking of shortjaw kokopu within the Mangatawhiri River catchment to determine if stocking of this species is a feasible option for population enhancement.

• As a conservation measure, consider translocating shortjaw kokopu above the Mangatangi Dam to form an additional self-recruiting lacustrine population.

1 Introduction

Watercare Services Limited own and operate four water supply reservoirs (Cosseys, Wairoa, Upper Mangatawhiri and Mangatangi) within the upper Hunua Ranges, which maintain the majority of Auckland's water supply. The four Hunua water supply dams were built between 1955 and 1977, but since construction no provision for fish passage has been made at any of the reservoirs.

Almost half of New Zealand's freshwater fish species are diadromous and therefore undergo migrations between fresh and salt water during their life cycle. It is well known that the construction of dams can impact heavily upon the distribution and abundance of freshwater fish species present in the headwater streams above the impoundments. Of the four Hunua dams, only the Mangatawhiri Reservoir has an external spillway that provides a potential pathway for native sea-run fish species like eels, banked kokopu, koaro and potentially shortjaw kokopu to recruit to the headwater streams.

During 2008, a survey of the Mangatawhiri Reservoir and headwater streams recorded six native fish species; longfin eels, shortfin eels, banded kokopu, koaro, shortjaw kokopu and Cran's bullies, and one introduced species; rainbow trout (Baker *et al.* 2008). Of all four reservoirs, Mangatawhiri had the highest diversity of fish species and was the only reservoir containing shortjaw kokopu. Shortjaw kokopu were however rare, with only two fish recorded during the survey (Baker *et al.* 2008). Otolith microchemistry from one of the fish verified it had a lacustrine life history.

The presence of shortjaw kokopu above Mangatawhiri Dam is of particular significance as this species is the rarest of the five galaxiid species that comprise our whitebait fishery and is classified as declining (Allibone *et al.* 2010). This is the first record of a potential land-locked (lacustrine) population for this species. To confirm the existence, and determine the size, of a self-sustaining lacustrine population of shortjaw kokopu upstream of the Mangatawhiri Dam, NIWA and Auckland Council (AC) (supported by the Department of Conservation (DOC)) undertook an extensive survey of the headwater tributaries during summer 2011.

7

² Methods

2.1 Sampling sites

Based on the 2008 survey results, the Mangatawhiri River and its tributaries were targeted (Figure 1). This is where shortjaw kokopu were previously captured, and this river system was thought to provide the most suitable habitat for shortjaw kokopu within the catchment. St Paul Stream was also fished as it was also deemed to contain suitable shortjaw kokopu habitat, and only a small section of the stream was fished in 2008. The Lilburne was not fished as it is a smaller tributary whose habitat was considered less suitable for shortjaw kokopu than the larger waters of the Mangatawhiri River and St Paul Stream. The lower section of the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River was not fished as most of this water was too deep to fish effectively with the electric fishing machine (Figure 1).

The main true right tributary (herein named Trib 1; Figure 1) was not fished above the 10 m waterfall as this was considered a barrier to upstream colonisation of galaxiids, and no galaxiids were found above this waterfall during the 2008 survey (Plate 1). Fishing was, however, continued above a series of waterfalls encountered on the upper mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River, as the largest fall was no more than 4 m high and galaxiids were found above the impediment, although in lower densities.

Plate 1:

10 m waterfall located on the mainstem of the lower unnamed true right tributary of the Mangatawhiri River (photo Callum Bourke, DOC).



Figure 1:

Area fished in the upper Mangatawhiri River plus tributaries and the St Paul stream. Red line signifies area covered by electric fishing (7.2 km), the yellow circle is where the three shortjaw kokopu were caught (2011), the green circles are where shortjaw kokopu were caught in 2008. The green circles also represent the upper limits of fyke netting starting from the confluence of the main true right tributary with the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River.



2.2 Methodology

A combination of electric fishing and fyke netting was carried out over four days from the 28th February to 3rd March 2011. Two NIWA staff were supported by two AC staff, and one DOC staff member. Spot-lighting was unable to be carried out as permission to camp over-night in the catchment was declined, making the one and a half hour walk out over rugged terrain at night a health and safety hazard. The lower Mangatawhiri River, Trib 1, and St Paul Stream was accessed via Ernies track (off Waterline Road) and then following the Mangatawhiri River upstream. The upper reaches of the Mangatawhiri River and Trib 2 were accessed via Mine Road Track North (off Mine Road).

Two teams were utilised to maximise the area surveyed over the four day period. Each team used an EFM300 backpack electric fishing machine, set at 200 to 300 volts with a pulse width of 2 milliseconds and frequency of 60 Hz. Coarse mesh fyke nets (10 mm mesh) were also used. In total, 20 nets were set and left to fish overnight. Over three nights, 14 nets were set in the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River, and 6 nets set within Trib 1. All nets were unbaited and contained excluders (75 mm) to keep larger eels from entering and deterring fish or feeding upon any galaxiids caught. Fyke nets were normally set with the cod end facing upstream (Plate 2).

Plate 2:

Example of a fyke net set in the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River (photo Peter Hancock, AC).



Qualitative single-pass spot electric fishing (Plate 3) was undertaken throughout the survey area. For habitat that was identified as containing good cover for shortjaw kokopu (ie, larger boulders, debris dams, logs, undercut banks) fishing effort was increased with multiple passes undertaken. The survey concentrated on catching shortjaw kokopu, however, any other fish species captured were recorded as rare to abundant depending on numbers caught, with their length (total length) estimated. Any shortjaw kokopu caught were kept alive and held within flow-through plastic containers to enable their length (total length) to be measured and photos taken. A number of

photos and habitat measurements were also taken where the fish had been caught as well as the site coordinates being recorded with a handheld GPS unit.

Of the shortjaw captured, two fish were killed for life history analyses. Both fish were anaesthetised, and then fixed in 95% ethanol. Within the NIWA laboratory, the otoliths (ear bones) were removed from each fish, dried, and then embedded in an epoxy resin. To determine if the shortjaw kokopu were sea-run fish, an analysis of the strontium:calcium (Sr:Ca) ratio within the otoliths was performed at the Australian National University in Canberra using an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). Sr:Ca ratios are higher when fish are reared in a marine environment compared to a freshwater environment (Tzeng 1996; Shen *et al.* 1998) and the ratios can be used as indicators of whether fish have a diadromous life cycle with larvae reared at sea, or if they have completed their life cycle in freshwater. All otoliths were drilled through along the shortest axis, using the spike in manganese to determine when the nucleus is hit. Sexing and gut analysis was also carried out on any fish kept.

A number of water quality measurements (temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen) were also taken using an YSI Professional Plus machine at regular intervals throughout the survey area. A temperature logger (Onset Hobo Pro) was also deployed overnight at the Trib 1 - Mangatawhiri River confluence, and also within the upper Mangatawhiri River (adjacent to Mine Road track) to record the diel fluctuation in water temperature during the survey.

Plate 3:

Electric fishing in the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River (photo Peter Hancock, AC).



Results and Discussion

A total of 7.2 km of water was fished during the survey; 4.1 km within the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River, 1.1 km within Trib 1, 0.6 km for Trib 2 and 1.4 km for St Paul Stream. Overall, six fish species and the freshwater crayfish (koura) were captured (Table 1). The community composition matched that recorded by Baker *et al.* (2008) during the 2008 survey of the upper Mangatawhiri Reservoir tributaries.

Table 1:

All species and their abundance caught during the March 2011 survey of the upper Mangatawhiri Reservoir tributaries.

Species	Mainstem Mangatawhiri River below falls	Mainstem Mangatawhiri River above falls	Trib 1	St Paul Stream
Shortjaw kokopu	rare	-	-	-
Banded kokopu	common	occasional	common	abundant
Koaro	abundant	common	abundant	abundant
Longfin eel	occasional	occasional	occasional	occasional
Cran's bully	common	-	abundant	abundant
Koura	occasional	occasional	occasional	common
Rainbow trout	occasional	-	occasional	occasional

In total, only three shortjawed kokopu were captured, and all were caught within 50 m of each other in the middle of the fished section of the Mangatawhiri River (Figure 1 and Table 2). No shortjaw kokopu were caught in Trib 1, the site of the first shortjaw kokopu captured in 2008 (Figure 1), despite there being plenty of suitable habitat. Although shortjaw kokopu are solitary fish, they are found as discrete populations (Allibone *et al.* 2003; Charteris *et al.* 2003) so finding all three within close proximity to one another is not unusual. However, the two fish caught during 2008 were found over a more extensive range of the Mangatawhiri River (Figure 1). The two shortjaw kokopu sacrificed were ripe males (the third fish was returned alive to the pool of capture) indicating they may have been close to spawning, and therefore, a spawning migration could account for the close aggregation of fish in the present survey.

The three shortjaw kokopu captured were located approximately 500 m downstream of one fish caught in 2008. This habitat provided good cover and fish were found with a number of other species including koaro, banded kokopu and Cran's bullies (Table 2). The largest shortjaw kokopu (194mm) was found sharing its pool with a large longfin eel (900 mm) that would have been piscivorous. The shortjaw kokopu caught were in good condition with no signs of physical damage from predators (Plate 4). From gut analyses, both fish had been actively feeding prior to capture. A survey of banded kokopu and giant kokopu habitat use by Baker and Smith (2007) found both of these kokopu species also shared pool and cover habitat with large adult eels.

Table 2:

Habitat measurements, sex, and gut contents of shortjawed kokopu caught during the March 2011 survey of the upper Mangatawhiri Reservoir tributaries.

Shortjaw kokopu	1	2	3
Date caught	1 March 2011	1 March 2011	3 March 2011
Gps co-oords	E2703651.2 N6458635.5	E2703711.2 N6458650.4	E2703717.2 N6458659.4
Fish kept	Yes	Yes	No
Length (mm)	194	150	125
Weight (g)	106.02	64.85	Not sampled
Habitat	Under a boulder in a pool next to a riffle	Under boulders in a pool with a run upstream	Under a boulder in a run with a pool downstream
Substrate %	Boulder 25 Cobble 35 Gravel 40	Boulder 40 Cobble 60 Gravel 0	Boulder 60 Cobble 20 Gravel 20
Cover	Undercut bank Woody debris Boulder	Undercut bank Boulder (interstitial spaces between boulders)	Undercut bank Boulder
Habitat width (m)	Pool 0.5 to 2 wide	Pool 1.2 to1.5 wide	Run 1.8 to 2.5 wide
Maximum Habitat depth (m)	0.4	0.5	0.65
Comments	Using the same cover as longfin eel (900mm), large BK and several smaller BK, koaro and bullies	Using same cover as BK, bullies and a few koaro	Caught 2m upstream from pool where SJK 2 was caught. In a run with several juvenile BK and koaro
Sex	Male (ripe)	Male (ripe)	Not sampled
Gut analysis	Terrestrial long-horn beetle x 1, terrestrial bettle x 1, ant x1, wasp x 1, Caddis fly – Hydropsychidae x 1, Caddis fly Aoteapsyche x 1 Mayfly – Zephlebia borealis x 2	Terrestrial click beetle (elateridae family x 1 Pupae – Hydrobiosis x 1 Mayfly – Acanthophlebia cruentata x 2, Flatworm – Platyheminthes x 1 Caddis fly – Helicopsyche x 3 Costachorema xanthopterum x 1 Hydrobiosis parmbripennis x 1, unidentified terrestrial invertebrates x 3	Not sampled

In general, all shortjaw kokopu were found in habitats of similar dimensions (1-2 m wide, with max depth of c. 0.5 m), dominated by larger substrates, and containing undercut banks, woody debris and boulders as in-stream cover (Table 2). The maximum width and depth of the pools, and in-stream cover used by shortjaw kokopu is comparable to that found by Baker and Smith (2007) for pools utilised by both banded and giant kokopu within the Hakarimata Range. Of the five whitebait species, previous studies have found that shortjaw kokopu tend to be associated more with koaro and banded kokopu than giant kokopu (Allibone *et al.* 2003; Charteris *et al.* 2003) even though an overlap in habitat and cover choice occurs. The tendency for shortjaw kokopu to occur with banded kokopu and koaro over giant kokopu may relate to the location of suitable habitat with respect to elevation and gradient. Shortjaw kokopu have been found to prefer higher elevation forested streams with moderate

gradients often characterised by little fine sediment and good cover amongst cobbles and boulders (Allibone *et al.* 2003; Charteris *et al.* 2003). This was indeed evident in the present study, as shortjaw kokopu tended to be associated with larger cobble and boulder substrates. In comparison, giant kokopu are found at lower elevations than banded kokopu and their preferred habitat consists predominantly of finer cobble and gravel substrates (Baker and Smith 2007; Bonnett and Sykes 2002).

Plate 4:

Graham Surrey (AC) proudly displaying the first two shortjaw kokopu caught within 30m of each other, (photo: Peter Hancock, AC).



Overall, the present survey has determined that shortjaw kokopu are rare above the Mangatawhiri Dam even though large amounts of suitable habitat exist within much of the Mangatawhiri River, Trib 1 and parts of St Paul Stream. Most habitats deemed suitable for shortjaw kokopu (pools and runs with good in-stream cover) contained mostly banded kokopu and the occasional large longfin eel. Due to such low numbers being caught, the third shortjaw kokopu captured on the last day of sampling by repeat fishing of productive sites was returned alive to the river due to concerns about the population's survival.

It is more than likely that some fish were missed during the electric fishing survey, as the cryptic nature of shortjaw kokopu, paired with their habitat preferences, can make electric fishing ineffective. The in-stream cover chosen by shortjaw kokopu (large boulders, debris dams, undercut banks) allows fish to hide deep under cover, making it difficult to draw them out with the electric fishing machine. McDowall *et al.* (1996) also had problems capturing shortjaw kokopu in Jones Creek, on the West Coast of the South Island using electric fishing, as fish retreated amongst the substrate for cover. For species such as shortjaw kokopu, spotlighting has been shown to give greater capture efficiency over electric fishing (Allibone *et al.* 2003). As it was not possible to undertake spotlighting in the present survey, it is likely that the number of shortjaw kokopu within the survey areas was underestimated. That said, even with higher

efficiencies, it is likely that the total Mangatawhiri River population is small, and should be considered extremely rare and vulnerable.

No shortjaw kokopu were captured within any of the fyke nets. The only species captured were Cran's bully, koura, and banded kokopu. The fyke nets were set within pools considered too deep to fish effectively with the electric fishing machine, and the poor catches indicate that there were either no shortjaw kokopu frequenting these pools whilst out feeding overnight or that these fish were net shy. Given that shortjaw kokopu have been caught in fyke nets and small traps in South Westland (Main *et al.* 1985; Taylor and Main, 1987) it is likely that fish were absent from the habitat sampled or in such low numbers that none were captured. There could potentially be fish within these pools and spotlighting would be the best method to confirm this.

It was observed that the water in Trib 1 did not seem as clear as that in the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River, however, water samples were not taken to confirm turbidity levels. A higher level of suspended solids within Trib 1 could be the result of the upper catchment housing an operational pine plantation. Presently, only inanga, banded kokopu and koaro have been tested for avoidance to suspended sediment (Boubee *et al.* 1997). Of the three galaxiid species, banded kokopu were extremely sensitive, avoiding waters with turbidity higher than c. 20 NTU, whereas koaro and inanga were relatively insensitive, requiring levels of 70 and 420 NTU, respectively, before an avoidance response was displayed (Boubee *et al.* 1997). Further investigation is necessary to determine if shortjaw kokopu are also sensitive to suspended solids, or whether the absence of this species from Trib 1 during the present survey is because of their low abundance within the catchment.

There was little difference between sites in the water quality parameters investigated (Table 3). However, differences in the diel range of water temperatures were found between two sites within the mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River (Figure 2). At the confluence with Trib 1, water temperature fluctuated by 2.7°C over a 24 hour period, whereas further upstream adjacent to the Mine Track, overnight water temperatures only dropped by 0.7°C from the daytime maximum (Figure 2). Although water temperature was only monitored over one 24 hour period, the difference in fluctuation between sites is likely to be due to higher levels of stream shading in the upper reaches of the Mangatawhiri River compared with more open reaches of lower river at the confluence with Trib 1. Whether water temperature fluctuation has an effect on shortjaw kokopu distribution is difficult to determine because of the small number of fish caught. However, given that they are associated with forested streams (Allibone *et al.* 2003; Charteris *et al.* 2003), it is likely they will be less frequently associated with open waters where larger temperature fluctuations are possible.

Table 3:

Water quality readings from four sites in the upper Mangatawhiri catchment.

Site	Mainstem Mangatawhiri River by Mine Track	Mainstem Mangatawhiri River at confluence	Trib 1 above waterfall	St Paul Stream
Date	2 March 2011	28 February 2011	3 March 2011	3 March 2011
Temperature	15.4	15.2	14.9	15.2
DO %	90.8	95	88.1	88
DO mg/l	9.04	9.51	8.99	8.84
Conductivity	91	85.8	70.2	103

Figure 2:

Diel temperatures at two sites within the Mangatawhiri River catchment. A, Mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River adjacent to Mine track over the 25 hour period 11.00am to 12pm, 2nd to 3rd March 2011. B, Mainstem of the Mangatawhiri River at the confluence with Trib 1 over the 27 hour period 3.00pm to 6.00pm, 28th February to 1st March 2011.



Analysis of the two shortjaw kokopu otoliths show both fish had a lacustrine life-history with no evidence of a marine phase. Representative ratios of isotopes are shown in Figure 3. For both fish, the Sr:Ca ratio was similar throughout their life history. Known sea-run inanga (*Galaxias maculatus*) and koaro show a Sr:Ca ratio of 8 to 12 mmol mol⁻¹ when in a marine environment (Baker and Hicks 2003; Hicks *et al.* 2005). Ba:Ca ratios were also similar throughout their life history, and barium abundance is known to be low in the sea compared to freshwater (Crook *et al.* 2006). For both otoliths and the shortjaw kokopu otolith processed in 2008, a peak in the Mn:Ca ratio was present which signifies sampling of the core.

Thus it is likely that the shortjaw kokopu population above Mangatawhiri Dam is lacustrine, with little to no recruitment past the dam by sea-run fish. Of the five diadromous galaxiid species, all species except shortjaw kokopu are known to form lacustrine populations within lake catchments, and this is the first conclusive evidence that shortjaw kokopu can also form a land-locked population. As banded kokopu and koaro are found at similar elevations to shortjaw kokopu (Allibone *et al.* 2003; Charteris *et al.* 2003), shortjaw kokopu should form land-locked populations alongside these species if present at the time access to the sea is inhibited. The absence of shortjaw kokopu lacustrine populations around New Zealand is most likely due to their scarcity in distribution compared to banded kokopu and koaro.

16

Given the rarity of land-locked shortjaw kokopu populations, the small population within the Mangatawhiri River catchment should be protected. Management options could include stocking to ensure this population continues. As a conservation measure, translocating shortjaw kokopu above the Mangatangi Dam could also be undertaken to form an additional self-recruiting lacustrine population. Baker *et al.* (2008) found the Mangatangi Reservoir and headwater streams to be the only Hunua dam devoid of galaxiids, with low densities of eels, rainbow trout and restricted populations of Cran's bullies the only fish species present. Mangatangi Reservoir is close to the Mangatawhiri Reservoir, and both dams feed into the Waikato River. Because of its high altitude, Mangatangi Reservoir has an almost entirely forested catchment, with many tributaries containing habitats preferred by shortjaw kokopu.

Figure 3:

Laser ablation transects through one of the shortjaw kokopu otoliths (shortjaw number 1, 194 mm). Sr:Ca ratios given in mmol mol-1. Ba:Ca and Mn:Ca ratios given as μ mol mol⁻¹.



⁴ Summary and Recommendations

Shortjaw kokopu are the rarest of the five galaxiid species that comprise our whitebait fishery, and the two fish caught within the upper Mangatawhiri catchment during 2008 were the first record of a potential land-locked population for this species. The results of the present survey have confirmed that the shortjaw kokopu in the upper Mangatawhiri River are in fact part of a small lacustrine population. This population represents the only known land-locked shortjaw kokopu population within New Zealand.

Despite large amounts of suitable habitat within the headwater streams of the Mangatawhiri Reservoir, the shortjaw kokopu population appears extremely rare, with only three fish captured over an intensive four day survey of 7.2 km of river. The present survey, however, may underestimate the abundance of shortjaw kokopu above Mangatawhiri Dam as it was not possible to undertake spotlighting, which is thought to be more effective for this species than electric fishing.

Based on these findings, we recommend:

- Future surveys be carried out to ensure the shortjaw kokopu population does not decline to extinction.
- Future surveys should consist of both electric fishing and spotlighting to give a better estimate of population size.

As outlined in Baker *et al.* (2008) preserving the shortjaw kokopu population within the Mangatawhiri Reservoir should be a priority. We recommend the following management options:

- Ensure an upstream Trap and Transfer programme is not implemented at this dam as it could disrupt the status quo.
- Consult with Auckland/Waikato Fish and Game regarding the necessity to maintain a trout fishery within the Mangatawhiri Reservoir. If the presence of a rainbow trout population above the Mangatawhiri Dam is deemed important, then stocking should continue at the current level as it appears sustainable with respect to the galaxiid populations. However, it is important that the current stocking rate is not increased, as adult trout are known to feed on galaxiids, and therefore have the potential to reduce the growth of the shortjaw kokopu population.
- Undertake stocking of shortjaw kokopu within the Mangatawhiri River catchment to determine if stocking of this species is a feasible option for population enhancement.
- As a conservation measure, consider translocating shortjaw kokopu above the Mangatangi Dam to form an additional self-recruiting lacustrine population.

5 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Graham Surrey and Peter Hancock of Auckland Council and Callum Bourke of DOC, (Plate 5) for help in coordinating and carrying out the shortjaw kokopu survey, as well as Auckland Council Southern Sector Park rangers for providing accommodation in the Kokako House. We also thank Watercare Services Limited for enabling the survey to be undertaken by providing access to the catchment.

Plate 5:

Shortjaw kokopu sampling team 2011 from left to right Callum Bourke (DOC), Graham Surrey (AC), Brenda Bartels (NIWA), Josh Smith (NIWA), and Peter Hancock (AC).



6 References

- Allibone, R., Caskey, D., Miller, R. (2003). Population structure, individual movement, and growth rate of shortjaw kokopu (*Galaxias postvectis*) in two North Island, New Zealand streams. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 37, 473-483.
- Allibone, R., David, B., Hitchmough, R., Jellyman, D., Ling, N., Ravenscroft, P., Waters, J. (2010). Conservation status of New Zealand freshwater fish, 2009. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 44, 271-287.
- Baker, C.F., Smith, J.P. (2007). Habitat use by banded kokopu (*Galaxias fasciatus*) and giant kokopu (*G. argenteus*) co-occurring in streams draining the Hakarimata Range, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 41, 25-33.
- Baker, C., Smith, J., Davison, B. (2008). Hunua Ranges Dams 2008 Freshwater Fish Survey. Prepared by NIWA for Auckland Regional Council. Auckland Regional Council Technical Report 2008/016.
- Baker, C.F., Hicks, B.J. (2003). Response of migratory inanga (*Galaxias maculatus*) and koaro (*Galaxias brevipinnis*) juveniles to adult galaxiid odours. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 37(2), 291-299.
- Bonnett, M.L., Sykes, J.R.E. (2002). Habitat preferences of giant kokopu, *Galaxias argenteus*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36, 13-24.
- Boubee, J.A.T., Dean, T.L., West, D.W., Barrier, R.F.G. (1997). Avoidance of suspended sediment by the juvenile migratory stage of six New Zealand native fish species. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 31, 61-69.
- Charteris, S.C., Allibone, R.M., Death, R.G. (2003). Spawning site selection, egg development, and larval drift of *Galaxias postvectis* and *G. fasciatus* in a New Zealand stream. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 37, 493-505.
- Crook, D.A., Macdonald, J.I., O'Connor, J.P., Barry, B. (2006). Use of otoliths chemistry to examine patterns of diadromy in the threatened Australian grayling *Prototroctes maraena. Journal of Fish Biology* 69, 1330-1344.
- Hicks, B.J., Barry, B.J., Markwitz, A., Baker, C.F., Mitchell, C.P. (2005). Chronosequences of strontium in the otoliths of migratory freshwater fish in New Zealand. *International Journal of PIXE* 15(3-4), 95-101.
- McDowall, R.M., Eldon, G.A., Bonnett, M.L., Sykes, J.R.E. (1996). Critical habitats for the conservation of shortjaw kokopu, *Galaxias postvectis*. Conservation Sciences Publication 5. Wellington, Department of Conservation. 80 p.
- Main, M.R., Nicoll, G.J., Eldon, G.A. (1985). Distribution and biology of freshwater fishes in the Cook River to Paringa River area, South Westland, NZ. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Fisheries Environmental Report 60, 1-142.

- Shen, K.N., Lee, Y.C., Tzeng, W.N. (1998). Use of otolith microchemistry to investigate the life history pattern of gobies in a Taiwanese stream. Zoological Studies 37(4), 322-329.
- Taylor, M.J., Main, M.R. (1987). Distributions of freshwater fishes in the Whakapohai to Waita River area, South Westland, NZ. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Fisheries Environmental Report 77, 1-85.
- Tzeng, W.N. (1996). Effects of salinity and ontogenetic movements on strontium: calcium ratios in the otoliths of the Japanese eel, *Anguilla japonica* Temminck and Schlegel. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 199: 111-122.

7 Appendix I – Site Co-ordinates

Fykes unnamed trib	East	North				
1	2702848	6458282				
2	2702822	6458305				
3	2702875	6458352				
4	2702780	6458387				
5	2702717	6458505				
6	2702756	6458631				
Fykes main stem						
1	2703200.7	6458375.4				
2	2702977.4	6458208.9				
3	2702996.7	6458355.8				
4	2703070.8	6458396.7				
5	2703145.8	6458390.5				
6	2703311.8	6458429.2				
7	2703314.9	6458447.2				
8	2703347.8	6458431.1				
9	2703389.7	6458388.1				
10	2703405.7	6458377				
11	2702952.4	6458200.9				
12	2702832.5	6458248.2				
13	2703957.2	6458662.9				
14	2703403.7	6458376				
Shortjaw kokopu 2008						
1	2702738	6458524				
2	2704036	6458707				
Shortjaw kokopu 2011						
1	2703651.2	6458635.5				
2	2703711.2	6458650.4				
3	2703717.2	6458659.4				
Main stem fishing						
start	2702848	6458282				
end	2705597	6459112				
Main tributary fishing						
start	2702848	6458282				
end	2702710	6459154				
-						
Small tributary						
start	2704775	6459045				
end	2705058	6459484				

	East	North
St Paul stream		
start	2702506	6457571
end	2703669	6457117
Waterfall main tributary	2702710	6459154
Waterfall main stem	2705214	6458956

8

Appendix 2 – Freshwater Fish Database Forms

FRESH				1									
Date	1/3/2011	River/	Lake sy	stem Wa	aikato	River	(mouth	to Waip	a Rive	r) ^{Catchn} numbe	nent r	434	1.120
Time	1100	Samp	ling local	lity Uppe	er Man	ngataw	hiri Riv	er					
Observ er	jps	Acces	S							Altitude (m)	9		200
Organisation	niwa	NZMS Map n	260 o.		s12	Coord	d. 27036	51 645	8635	Distance inland (km)			76
Fishing metho	d efp	Area f or no.	ished (m nets use	n2) ed		Numb fishin	per of ele g passes	ctric	1	Tidal w	ater		n
HABITA	T DATA												
Water	Colour	u	Clarity			С	Temp.	15.4	pН				
	Average width (m)	2.0	Average depth (m	rage 0.3 th (m)		Maxim depth (um m)		0.4	Conducti	vity		
Habitat type (%)	Still 0	Back- water	0	Pool	100	Run	0	Riffle	0	Rapid	0	Casc.	0
Substrate type (%)	Mud 0	Sand	0	Fine gravel	0	Coarse gravel	⁹ 40	Cobble	35	Boulder	25	Bed- rock	0
Fish cover (y/n)	Macrophyte n	Instream debris	n n	Undercut bank	y y	Bank veg.	n						
Catchment vegetation(%)	Native forest 100	Exotic forest	0	Farm	0	Urban zone	0	Scrub	0	Swamp land	0	Other	0
Riparian vegetation(%)	Native forest 100	Exotic forest	0	Grass tussock	0	Expose bed	^{id} 0	Scrub willow	0	Raupo flax	0	Other	0
Type of river/stream	m/lake	1		1				1		1		1	
Water level				Downstre	eam barri	er			у	Pollution			
Large invertebrate fauna			Koura	a		o	Paratya			Freshwater mussel			
Bottom fauna abundance			1	Predomin	nant spec	ies group)			Permanent water			
FISH DA	ATA			1						1			
Species						Abun	dance	Length		Habita	t/Comm	nents	
Galaxias p	ostvectis		Short	jaw kok	opu	1 (r) 194				poo l	boulde	rcover	
Comments Ripe male, weight 106.02g													
R	ipe male, we	ight 106	.02g										

Date 1/3/2011 River/Lake system Waikato River (mouth to Waipa Rive) Catchment Number 434.120 Time 1200 Sampling locality Upper Mangatawhiri River Attitude Number of lectrice 200 Organisation niw Namp no. 512 Coord. 2703711 6458650 Distance midd (m) 76 Fishing method eff Area fished (m2) or no. eris used Number of electric 1 ishing masses 1 Todi water n HABETAT DATA Area fished (m2) or no. eris Number of electric 0 ro. 0 Riffe Rapid 0 Coord. 76 Habitation 1.3 Area fished (m2) or no. 0 Riffe 0 Rapid 0 Coord. 76 Habitation 0 Rader of or	FRESH	FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM														2
Time 1200 Sampling locality Upper Mangataw hiri Riv er Observer jps Access Attitude Observer Attitude 200 Organisation niwa NZMS 280 Sampling locality Upper Adversariation Distance Distance Distance Distance Name (m) 76 Fishing method ofp no. nick used Number of electric 1 Tidal water n Habitation Area (find) 0 Refring 0.4 Marine n 1 dial water n Habitation Safi 0 Baset 0 Pod 100 Rin 0 Refring 0 Caset 0 Safi 100 Baset 0 Pod 100 Rin 0 Refring 0 Caset 0 Safi 100 Baset 0 Pod for 0 Refring 0 Caset 0 Refring 0 Caset 0 Refring 0 Caset 0 Refring 0	Date	1/3/201	1	River/	Lake sy	stem Wa	aikato	River	(mout	h t	to Waip	a Rive	r) ^{Catchr}	nent r	434	¥.120
Observer jps Access Attrude (m) 200 Organisation niwa NZMS 260 Map no. 512 Coord 2703711 6456650 Distance inland (km) 76 Fishing method efp or no. nets used Number of electric ishing passes 1 Tidal water n HABITAT DATA Acreage weth (m) 1.3 Acreage depth (m) 0.4 Madman 0.5 Conductivy 91 Habitat Acreage weth (m) 1.3 Acreage depth (m) 0.4 Madman 0.5 Conductivy 91 Habitat Amerage weth (m) 1.3 Acreage depth (m) 0.4 Madman 0 Code 0 Back 0 Code 0 <td>Time</td> <td>120</td> <td>00</td> <td>Sampl</td> <td>ing local</td> <td>ity Upp</td> <td>er Mar</td> <td>ngataw</td> <td>hiri R</td> <td>iv</td> <td>er</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Time	120	00	Sampl	ing local	ity Upp	er Mar	ngataw	hiri R	iv	er		•			
Organisation NVM NZMS 260 Map no. \$12 Coord 2703711 6458650 Distance mand (km) 76 mand (km) Fishing method 6fp Area fished (m2) or no. nets used Number of electric fishing passes 1 Tdal water n HABITAT DATA Areage wath (m) 1.3 Areage or no. nets used 0 Calify c Tarp. 15.4 pH Habitat type (%) Sill 0 Back opt (m) 0.4 Maarrum opt (m) 0.5 Coductivity 91 Habitat type (%) Sill 0 Back opt (m) 0 Riffle 0 Rapid 0 Casc. 0 Habitat type (%) Nuid 0 Bark 0 Casc. 0 Back 0 Casc. 0 Habitat type (%) Mud 0 Bark y Bark y Bark 0 Casc. 0 Cathrort Macrophyte n Instream n Undercut zone 0 Scrub 0 Swarp 0 Oher 0 Riparian Macrophyte n Eokic 0 Grass 0 Bark n Scrub 0 Swarp 0 Oher 0 Type fish Macrophyte Eo	Observer	ii	os	Acces	s							Altitude (m)	Э		200	
Fishing method of point ones used Number of electric 1 Tidal water n HABITAT DATA Value ones used ishing passes 1 Tidal water n Water Colour u Clarity c Terp. 15.4 pH Mater 0 Back 0 0.4 Maximum 0.5 Conductivity 91 Habitat Average 0 Average 0 Casc. 0 Rapid 0 Casc. 0 Subtrate 0 Back 0 Poid 100 Run 0 Riffle 0 Rapid 0 Casc. 0 Subtrate 0 Back 0 Poid 100 Run 0 Riffle 0 Rapid 0 Casc. 0 Subtrate Madoraphye Intersem 0 Dark Y Back 0 Casc. 0 Subtrate Native 100 Eostic 0 Scrub 0 Stater 0 Other 0 Rightin Native 100 Eostic 0 Gase 0 Scrub 0 Back 0 Other 0	Organisation	niw	a	NZMS Map n	260 o.		s12	Coor	d. 2703	37	11 645	8650	Distan	ce (km)		76
Internet protection Internet protection Value Colour c Colour c Average Conductivity 91 Habitat Average Conductivity 91 Habitat Conductivity 91 Habitat Conductivity 91 Habitat Conductivity 91 Habitat Conductivity 0 Caster on the stream Habitat Native Device O Coster on the stream Adverage of wear border A Device O Coster on the stream Value Device O Stream O Coster on the stream O Oster on the stream Netword to treat Device O Oster on the stream Value Downeresamberrist y P	Fishing metho	d e	fp	Area f	ished (m	12) ed		Num	ber of e	ele	ctric	1	Tidal w	ater		n
Water Calcur u Clarity c Temp. 15.4 pH Average wdh (m) 1.3 Average daph (m) 0.4 Maximum daph (m) 0.5 Canductivity 91 Habitat Mpe (%) Sill 0 Back 0 Pod 100 Run 0 Riffe 0 Rapid 0 Casc. 0 Sill 0 Sard 0 Fine grad 0 Cobie 60 Back 0 Casc. 0 Sill rotest 100 Extic 0 Fine grad 0 Cobie 60 Back 0 Cobie 0 Casc. 0 CastOrment spe (%) Macrophye freest 100 Extic 0 Grass 0 Exture 0 Strut 0 Raupo land 0 Other 0 Type of inverstramendarian daurdance Incest 100 Exture 0 Other 0 Other 0 Exture 0 Strut	ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	T DATA	λ.						9							
Marting 1.3 Average depth (m) 0.4 Madmum depth (m) 0.5 Conductivity 91 Habitat type (%) Still 0 Back 0 Pool 100 Run 0 Rapid 0 Case. 0 Martine 0 Staturate 0 Pool 100 Run 0 Riffe 0 Rapid 0 Case. 0 Staturate Martine 0 Staturate 0 Pool 100 Run 0 Riffe 0 Rapid 0 Case. 0 CastChrent Martine 100 Exclic 0 Fram 0 Under cut 0 Scrub 0 Scrup 0 Other 0 Riparian Native 100 Exclic 0 Grass 0 Exclic 0 Care 0 Scrup 0 Rine 0 Other 0 Scrup 0 Rine 0 Other 0	Water Colour u Clarity c											Temp.	15.4	pН		
Habitation of the (%) Still 0 Back 0 Pool 100 Run 0 Riffle 0 Rapid 0 Casc: 0 type (%) Mud 0 Sand 0 Fine 0 Casrse 0 Coble 60 Boulder 40 Bod 0 Casc: 0 Subtrate type (%) Matrophye n Instream Instream V seg. n - - - - - - - 0 Casc: 0 Farm 0 Castream 0 Strub 0 Swamp 0 Other 0 Experiant Torest 0 Cascream 0 Strub 0 Swamp 0 Other 0 Rigerain Native 100 Exotic 0 Grass 0 Paraya Freshwater -		Average width (m)		1.3	Average depth (m	<u>.</u> ו)	0.4	Maxim depth	ium 'm)			0.5	Conducti	ivity		91
Schetträge Mud 0 Samt 0 Fine grakel 0 Coarse grakel 0 Cobble 60 Boulder 40 Bed- rock 0 Fish cove (v/n) Matrophyle n Instram n Undercut bark y Bark n n Instram n Indercut bark y Bark n <td>Habitat type (%)</td> <td>Still</td> <td>0</td> <td>Back- water</td> <td>0</td> <td>Pool</td> <td>100</td> <td>Run</td> <td></td> <td>D</td> <td>Riffle</td> <td>0</td> <td>Rapid</td> <td>0</td> <td>Casc.</td> <td>0</td>	Habitat type (%)	Still	0	Back- water	0	Pool	100	Run		D	Riffle	0	Rapid	0	Casc.	0
Species Native (yn) Instream (non- tark Undercut tark V but wg. n Instream (non- tark Native (non- tark 0 Scrub 0 Swamp (non- tark 0 Other 0 Riparian wggetation(%) Native forest 100 Exolic (orest 0 Farm 0 Viral 0 Scrub 0 Skrup 0 Other 0 Riparian wggetation(%) Native forest 100 Exolic (orest 0 Exolic (orest 0 Scrub 0 Scrub 0 Riparian (orest 0 Other 0 Type of river/stream/take Downstream barrier y Poluion Frestwater mussel Frestwater mussel Frestwater mussel Frestwater mussel Frestwater Editom fana abundance Predominant species group Peralya Permanent weter Permanent weter Frestwater Species Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover	Substrate	Mud	0	Sand	0	Fine	0	Coars	e (D	Cobble	60	Boulder	40	Bed- rock	0
Concerning Datis Datis <thdatis< th=""></thdatis<>	Fish	Macrophyte	n	Instream	n n	Undercu	t y	Bank	r	n					TOOK	
Vage laid (%) Value Udea Cares	Catchment	Native	00	Exotic	0	Farm	0	Urban	(D	Scrub	0	Swamp	0	Other	0
Vagetation (v) Type of river/stream/lake Value rised Downstream barrier y Pollution Value rised Downstream barrier y Pollution Large invertebrate fauna abundance Mora o Paratya Freshwater mussel FISH DATA Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Comments ripe male, weight 64.85g	Riparian	Native	00	Exotic	0	Grass	0	Expose	ed ()	Scrub	0	Raupo	0	Other	0
Water level Downstream barrier y Pollution Large invertebrate favan Kour o Paratya Freshwater mussel Bottom fauna abundance Predominant species group Permanent water FISH DATA Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Prement Length Habitat/Comments Length Habitat/Comments Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Vertice Length Habitat/Comments Length Habitat/Comments Length Leng	Type of river/streat	m/lake		torest		TUSSOCK		Ded			WIIOW		TIAX			
Large invertebrate tauna Koura o Paratya Freshwater mussel Bottom fauna abundance Predominant species group Permanent water FISH DATA Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover	Water level					Downstre	eam barri	er				v	Pollution			
tauna Predominant species group Permanent water Bottom fauna abundance Permanent water Permanent water Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover	Large invertebrate	1			Koura	<u> </u>	o Paratva					Freshwater				
auronance FISH DATA Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover	Bottom fauna					Predominant species group						Permanent water				
Species Abundance Length Habitat/Comments Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Image: Species Image: Spec	FISH D4							-								
Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover Galaxias postvectis Shortjaw kokopu 1 (r) 150 poo boulder cover	Species							Abur	Idance		Length		Habita	t/Comm	nents	
Comments ripe male, weight 64.85g	Galaxias p	ostvectis			Short	jaw kol	kopu	1	(r))		150	poo	boulde	r cover	
ripe male, weight 64.85g																
	ri	Comments ripe male, weight 64.85g														

FRESH	FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM													3
Date	1/3/	2011	River/	'Lake sy	stem W	aikato	River	(mouth	to Waip	a Rive	r) ^{Catchn} numbe	nent r	434	1.120
Time		1200	Samp	ling local	ity Upp	er Mar	gataw	hiri Riv	er					
Observer		jps	Acces	s							Altitude (m)	;		200
Organisation		niwa	NZMS Map n	6 260 o.		s12	Coord	d. 27037	17 645	8659	Distance inland (km)			76
Fishing method	d	efp	Area f or no.	ished (m nets use	12) ed		Numb fishin	per of ele g passes	ectric	1	Tidal w	ater		n
ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	TDA	TA												
Water	Colour					u	Clarity			c	Temp.	15.4	pН	
	Average width (m)		2.1	Average depth (m	n)	0.4	Maximu depth (um m)		0.7	Conducti	vity	•	90
Habitat type (%)	Still	0	Back- water	0	Pool	0	Run	100	Riffle	0	Rapid	0	Casc.	0
Substrate type (%)	Mud	0	Sand	0	Fine gravel	0	Coarse gravel	20	Cobble	20	Boulder	60	Bed- rock	0
Fish cover (v/n)	Macroph	^{yte} n	Instrear debris	n n	Undercu bank	^{it} y	Bank veq.	n						
Catchment	Native	100	Exotic	0	Farm	0	Urban	0	Scrub	0	Swamp	0	Other	0
Riparian	Native	100	Exotic	0	Grass tussock	0	Expose	d 0	Scrub	0	Raupo	0	Other	0
Type of river/stream	m/lake		101001		lacoon		bou				itax			
Water level					Downstr	eam barri	er			у	Pollution			
Large invertebrate fauna				Koura	a		o	Paratya			Fresh	water		
Bottom fauna abundance					Predomi	nant spec	ies group)		Permanent water				
FISH DA	ATA													
Species							Abun	dance	Length	1	Habita	t/Comm	nents	
Galaxias po	ostvect	is		Short	jaw kol	kopu	1	(r)		125	run k	oulde	cover	
Comments														
Comments														

FRESH	FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM														4
Date	1/3/2	011	River/	Lake sy	stem Wa	aikato	River	(mout	h t	to Waipa	a Rive	r) ^{Catchn} numbe	nent r	434	1.120
Time	1	000	Sampl	ing local	ity Uppe	er Mar	gataw	hiri R	iv	er					
Observer		jps	Acces	s								Altitude (m)			200
Organisation	n	iwa	NZMS Map n	260 o.		s12 Coord. 2702848 6458282					8282	Distance inland (km)			76
Fishing metho	d	efp	Area f or no.	ished (m nets use	12) ed		Num fishir	ber of e	ele ses	ctric	1	Tidal w	ater		n
ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	T DAT	A	•				•								
Water	Colour					u	Clarity	,			с	Temp.	15.4	pН	
	Average width (m)		2.5	Average depth (m	; 1)	0.3	Maxim depth	um m)			1.6	Conducti	vity		90
Habitat type (%)	Still	0	Back- water	0	Pool	20	Run	60)	Riffle	20	Rapid	0	Casc.	0
Substrate type (%)	Mud	0	Sand	0	Fine gravel	20	Coars gravel	^ə 30)	Cobble	30	Boulder	20	Bed- rock	0
Fish cover (y/n)	Macrophyte	^e n	Instrean debris	n n	Undercut bank	t y	Bank veq.	r	n						
Catchment vegetation(%)	Native forest	100	Exotic forest	0	Farm	0	Urban zone	()	Scrub	0	Swamp land	0	Other	0
Riparian vegetation(%)	Native forest	100	Exotic forest	0	Grass tussock	0	Expose	ed ()	Scrub willow	0	Raupo flax	0	Other	0
Type of river/streat	m/lake		1												
Water level					Downstre	eam barri	er					Pollution			
Large invertebrate	•			Koura	a		o	Paratya	a			Fresh	water		
Bottom fauna abundance					Predomir	nant spec	ies grou	b				Permanent water			
FISH DA	٩ΤΑ														
Species							Abur	dance		Length		Habita	t/Comm	nents	
Galaxias p	ostvectis	5		Short	jaw koł	кори	3	(r))	125-	194	gen l	boulde	r cover	
Galaxias fa	sciatus			Ban	ded koł	copu	c 40-200					gen			
Galaxias b	revipinni "	s			K	oaro	а			40-	-110	gen			
Cobiomorn		nii Alie			Crane		0			600-1	100 b	gen			
Oncorhync	hus mvki	ss		Ra	ainbow f	trout	0				a	gen			
Chiconiyilo						uout					u	gon			
Comments															
4.1 km spot fished lokking for shortjaw kokopu															

FRESH	FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM												5
Date	1/3/2011	River/	Lake sy	stem Wa	aikato	River	(mouth	to Waip	a Rive	Catchr numbe	nent r	434	4.120
Time	1000	Sampl	ing local	ity Upp	er Mar	ngatav	hiri Ri	ver Trib.					
Observer	jps	Acces	s							Altitude (m)	Altitude (m)		200
Organisation	niwa	NZMS Map n	260 o.		s12	Coor	d. 2702	848 645	8282	Distan inland	Distance inland (km)		76
Fishing metho	d efp	Area f or no.	ished (m nets use	n2) ed		Num fishir	ber of el	lectric es	1	Tidal w	ater		n
ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	T DATA	•											
Water	Colour	u	Clarity	/		с	Temp.	15.0	pН				
	Average width (m)	2.5	Average depth (m	e 1)	0.3	Maxim depth	num (m)		1.5	Conducti	vity	•	70
Habitat type (%)	Still 0	Back- water	5	Pool	10	Run	60	Riffle	20	Rapid	0	Casc.	5
Substrate type (%)	Mud 0	Sand	10	Fine gravel	10	Coars gravel	^e 10	Cobble	35	Boulder	30	Bed- rock	5
Fish cover (y/n)	Macrophyte n	Instrean debris	n n	Undercu bank	t y	Bank veg.	n						
Catchment vegetation(%)	Native forest 50	Exotic forest	50	Farm	0	Urban zone	0	Scrub	0	Swamp land	0	Other	0
Riparian vegetation(%)	Native forest 100	Exotic forest	0	Grass tussock	0	Expos bed	ed O	Scrub willow	0	Raupo flax	0	Other	0
Type of river/streat	m/lake												
Water level				Downstre	eam barri	er				Pollution			
Large invertebrate fauna)		Koura	a		o	Paratya			Freshwater mussel			
Bottom fauna abundance				Predomi	nant spec	ies grou	p			Permanent water			
FISH DA	ATA												
Species						Abur	ndance	Length	1	Habita	t/Comm	nents	
Galaxias fa	asciatus		Ban	ded kol	copu	с		40	-200	gen			
Galaxias b	revipinnis			K	oaro	a		40	-110	gen			
Anguilla die	effenbachii			Longfi	n eel	0		600-	1100	gen			
Gobiomorp			Da		buily	a			D	gen			
Oncorrigine	nus mykiss		Π¢	ambow	uout				D	gen			
Comments 1.1 km spot fished up 10m waterfall													

FRESH	FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM													6
Date	1/3/:	2011	River/	Lake sy	stem Wa	aikato	River	(mouth	to Waip	a Rive	r) ^{Catchm}	ent	434	1.120
Time		1200	Sampl	ing local	lity Uppe	er Mar	gataw	hiri Riv	ver trib		•			
Observer		jps	Acces	s							Altitude (m)	Altitude (m)		200
Organisation	I	niwa	NZMS Map n	260 o.		s12	Coor	d. 2704	775 645	9045	Distance inland (km)			76
Fishing metho	d	efp	Area f or no.	ished (m nets use	n2) ed		Num fishir	ber of el	ectric es	1	Tidal wa	ter		n
ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	TDA	TA												
Water	Colour					u	Clarity	1		c	Temp.		pН	
	Average width (m)		1.0	Average depth (m	e n)	0.1	Maxim depth	um (m)		0.5	Conductivi	ty		
Habitat type (%)	Still	0	Back- water	0	Pool	20	Run	60	Riffle	20	Rapid	0	Casc.	0
Substrate type (%)	Mud	0	Sand	0	Fine gravel	0	Coars gravel	^e 10	Cobble	60	Boulder	30	Bed- rock	0
Fish cover (v/n)	Macrophy	^{yte} n	Instream debris	n n	Undercut bank	у	Bank veq.	n						
Catchment	Native forest	100	Exotic forest	0	Farm	0	Urban zone	0	Scrub	0	Swamp	0	Other	0
Riparian	Native	100	Exotic	0	Grass	0	Expose	ed 0	Scrub	0	Raupo	0	Other	0
Type of river/streat	m/lake		loroot		lacoon		200		· · · · · ·		licest			
Water level					Downstre	am barri	er			у	Pollution			
Large invertebrate fauna	•			Koura	a		r	Paratya			Freshwater mussel			
Bottom fauna abundance					Predomir	nant spec	ies grou	p			Permanent water			
FISH DA	٩ΤΑ				1									
Species							Abur	dance	Length	1	Habitat/	Comm	nents	
Galaxias b	revipinr	nis			K	oaro	с			b	gen			
Galaxias fa	sciatus			Ban	ded kok	opu	с			b	gen			
Anguilla die	effenbac	chii			Longfir	i eel	0			а	gen			
Comments spot fished 600m up trib.														
	spot fished 600m up trib.													

FRESHWATER FISH DATABASE FORM								7			7			
Date	1/3/2011	River/	River/Lake system Waikato River (mouth to Waipa Rive								Catchment number 434.120			
Time	1200	Sampling locality St Paul stream												
Observ er	jps	Access							Altitude (m)			200		
Organisation	NZMS 260 s12 Map no.				Coord. 2702506 6457571				Distance inland (km)		76			
Fishing method efp		Area fished (m2) or no. nets used				Number of electric fishing passes 1				Tidal water			n	
ΗΑΒΙΤΑ	T DATA													
Water	Colour	u				Clarity c				Temp.	15.2	pН		
	Average width (m)	1.2 Average depth (m) 0.2			0.2	Maximum depth (m) 0.7				Conductivity			100	
Habitat type (%)	Still 0	Back- water	0	Pool	20	Run	70	Riffle	10	Rapid	0	Casc.	0	
Substrate type (%)	Mud 0	Sand	0	Fine gravel	0	Coars gravel	^e 20	Cobble	60	Boulder	20	Bed- rock	0	
Fish cover (v/n)	Macrophyte n	Instream debris	n n	Undercut bank	у	Bank veq.	n							
Catchment	Native forest 100	Exotic forest	0	Farm	0	Urban	0	Scrub	0	Swamp	0	Other	0	
Riparian	Native forest 100	Exotic	0	Grass	0	Expos	ed O	Scrub willow	0	Raupo	0	Other	0	
Type of river/strea	am/lake	1				1		1		1		1		
Water level Downstream barrier y								Pollution						
Large invertebrate fauna				Koura			c Paratya			Freshwater				
Bottom fauna abundance				Predomir	nant spec	ies grou	es group				Permanent water			
FISH D	ATA													
Species							Abundance Length			Habitat/Comments				
Galaxias fasciatus			Ban	Banded kokopu			а		40-180		gen			
Galaxias brevipinnis				Koaro			а		40-100					
Anguilla dieffenbachii				Longfin eel			0		a b					
Oncorhynchus mykiss				Rainbow trout			a 0		b b					
					uout					gen				
Comments														
S	spot fishing 1.4	кm up t	rom ma	агк										