

Appendix C: Background Information

Table 79 Metropolitan Auckland: Vacant Land Consumption Rates, 1996, 2001 and 2006

Territorial Authority	Business zoned Vacant and Vacant Potential 1996 (ha)	Business zoned Vacant and Vacant Potential 2001 (ha)	Business zoned Vacant and Vacant Potential 2006 (ha)	Adjustments to 2006 totals	Business zoned Vacant and Vacant Potential 2006 (ha) Adjusted	Consumption Rate Hectares per annum 1996-01 (5-yrs)	Consumption Rate Hectares per annum 2001-06 (5-yrs)	Consumption Rate Hectares per annum 1996-2006 (10-yrs)
RDC	70	68	53		53	>1	3	2
NSCC	402	211	159	Albany Special 28ha	131	38	16	27
WCC	201	117	102		102	17	3	10
ACC	308	201	143		143	21	12	17
MCC	1,125	902	629		629	45	55	50
PDC	147	102	61		61	9	8	9
Metropolitan Total	2,253	1,601	1,146		1,118	130	97	113

Table 80 Metropolitan Auckland: Brownfield and Vacant and Vacant Potential Land Results 2006

Territorial Authority	Business zoned Vacant and Vacant Potential 2006 (ha) (Pre-Brownfield)	Business zoned Vacant, and Vacant Potential 2006 (ha) (Post Brownfield)	Brownfield land (previously defined as Vacant or Vacant Potential 2006 (ha))	Brownfield land (not previously defined as Vacant or Vacant Potential) 2006 (ha)	Brownfield Land Total 2006 (ha)
RDC	53	50	3	7	10
NSCC	159	151	8	11	19
WCC	102	99	3	26	29
ACC	143	109	34	260	294
MCC	563	542	21	196	217
PDC	61	61	0	42	42
Metropolitan Total	1,146	1,077	69	542	611

Explanation: The Brownfield Land study has introduced a new measured of business land capacity to the Capacity for Growth 2006 study. The Brownfield Land study was commenced after the Vacant Business Land study was completed. This resulted in 69 hectares of land previously identified as vacant potential being identified as fitting the brownfield land criteria. The brownfield classification was maintained and the land removed from the vacant potential database (i.e. the summary tables and maps were updated to show the brownfield classification). However, in order to maintain the vacant land monitor (i.e. vacant land area changes over time 1996-2006) it has been necessary to record the situations where this overlap occurred. The table above summarises this situation.

Figure 31: Auckland Housing Choices

